

THE EFFECT OF COLLABORATIVE WRITING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' WRITING RECOUNT TEXT AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTSOF MA PESANTREN MARDHATILLAH TANOPONGGOL

A THESIS

Submitted toState Islamic University the Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fullfilment of the Requirement for the Graduate Degree of Education Scholar (S.Pd.) in English Department

Written By

ANITA ADHA HASIBUAN Reg. Number. 17 203 00059

ENGLISH EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

TARBIYAH AND TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SYEKH ALI HASAN AHMAD ADDARY PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2022



THE EFFECT OF COLLABORATIVE WRITING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' WRITING RECOUNT TEXT AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF MA PESANTREN MARDHATILLAH TANOPONGGOL

A THESIS

Submitted to State Islamic University the Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fullfilment of the Requirement for the Graduate Degree of Education Scholar (S.Pd.) in English Department

Written By

ANITA ADHA HASIBUAN Reg. Number. 17 203 00059

ENGLISH EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

TARBIYAH AND TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SYEKH ALI HASAN AHMAD ADDARY PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2022



THE EFFECT OF COLLABORATIVE WRITING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' WRITING RECOUNT TEXT AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF MA PESANTREN MARDHATILLAH TANOPONGGOL

A THESIS

Submitted to State Islamic University the Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fullfilment of the Requirement for the Graduate Degree of Education Scholar (S.Pd.) in English Department

Written By:

ANITA ADHA HASIBUAN Reg. Number. 17 203 00059



ENGLISH EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Advisor I

Advisor II

Rifri Bayani Siregar, M Hum

Sri Minda, M.Hum. NIDN. 2018018801

TARBIYAH AND TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY SYEKH ALI HASAN AHMAD ADDARY PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2022

LETTER AGREEMENT

Term : Munaqosyah

Item : 7 (seven) exemplars

Padangsidimpuan, 23 November 2022 a.n. Anita Adha Hasibuan To: Dean Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty

In-

Padangsidimpuan

Assalamu 'alaikumwarohmatullah wabarakatuh

After reading, studying and giving advice for necessary revision on the thesis belongs to Anita Adha Hasibuan, entitled "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade Students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol". We assumed that the thesis has been acceptable to complete the assignments and fulfill the requirements for graduate degree of Education (S.Pd) in English Education Department, Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty in State Islamic University the Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan.

Therefore, we hope that the thesis will soon be examined by the Thesis examiner team of English Education Department of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty to State Islamic University the Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary Padangsidimpuan. Thank you.

Wassalamu 'alaikumwarohmatullah wabarakatuh

Advisor I

ani Siregar. M.Hum 820731 200912 2 004

Advisor II Sri Minda, M.Hum

NIDN. 2018018801

DECLARATION LETTER OF SELF THESIS COMPLETION

The name who signed here:

Name	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
Reg. Number	: 17 203 00059
Faculty/Department	: Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty/ TBI-2
The title of the Thesis	: The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students'
	Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade Students of
	MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanponggol

I hereby declare that I have arranged and written the Thesis by myself, without asking for illegal help from the others, except the guidance from advisors, and without plagiarism as it is required in students' ethic code of Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary State Islamic University Padangsidimpuan in article 14 verse 2.

I do this declaration truthfully, if there is deceitfulness and incorrectness regarding to this declaration in the future, I will be willing to get the punishment as it is required in students' ethic code of Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary State Islamic University Padangsidimpuan, article 19 verse 4, that is to cancel academic degree disrespectfully and other punishment regarding norms and legal law.

> Padangsidimpuan, 17 Oktober 2022 Declaration Maker



Anita Adha Hasibuan Reg. Number 17 203 00059

AGREEMENT PUBLICATION OF FINAL TASK FOR ACADEMY CIVITY

As Academic Cavity of the State University of Syekh Ali Hasan Addary Padangsidimpuan, the name who signed here:

Name	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
Registration Number	: 17 203 00059
Faculty/Department	: Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty/TBI-2
Kind	: Thesis

To develop of science and knowledge, I hereby declare that I present to the state University of Syekh Ali Hasan Addary PadangsidimpuanNon Exclusive Royalty Righton my thesis with entitled: **"The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol"** With all the sets of equipments (if needed). Based on the this non-exclusive royalty right, the State University of Syekh Ali Hasan Addary Padangsidimpuan has the right to save, to format, to organize in data base form, to keep and to publish thesis for as I am determined as a writer and owner of its creative right.

Based on the statement above all, this statement is made true heartedly to be used properly.



Reg. Number 17 203 00059

EXAMINERS

SCHOLAR MUNAQOSYAH EXAMINATION

: Anita Adha Hasibuan

17 203 00059

Name Registration Number Faculty/Department The Tittle of Thesis

 Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty
 THE EFFECT OF COLLABORATIVE WRITING STRATEGY ON STUDENTS' WRITING RECOUNT TEXT AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF MA PESANTREN MARDHATILLAH TANOPONGGOL

Secretary,

Chief,

ni Siregar, M.Hum 0731 200912 2 004

Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001

Members,

ni Siregar, M.Hum 820731 200912 2 004

Dr. Hamka, M.Hum NIP.19840815 200903 2 007

Proposed:Place: PadangsidimpuanDate: January, 02nd 2023Time: 14.00 WIB until finishResult/Mark: 78,25 (B)IPK: 3.43Predicate: Memuaskan

Kuli

Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001

Sri Minda, M.Hum NIDN. 2018018801



LEGALIZATION

Thesis	:	The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strate		
		on Students' Writing Recount Text at the		
		Eleventh Grade Students of MA Pesantren		
		Mardhatillah Tanoponggol		
Written By	:	Anita Adha Hasibuan		
Reg.No		1720300059		

Faculty/Department : Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty/TBI

The Thesis had been accepted as a partial fulfillment of the Requirement for Graduate Degree of Education (S.Pd.)



Name	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
Reg. no	: 1720300059
Faculty	: Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan
Program Stud	: Tadris Bahasa Ingris
Title of Thesis	: The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on
	Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade
	Students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol

ABTRACT

This research intended to investigate the effectof collaborative writing strategy on students' writing recount text at the eleventh grade students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. The problems faced by students in writing were, cannot determine the types of text, students stillless in grammar, generic structure and language features of text.

This research had three formulations of the problem, they were how the students' writing recount text before learning by using collaborative writing strategy, how the students' writing recount text after learning by using collaborative writing, and whether there was any significant effect of collaborative writing strategy on students' writing recount text at the eleventh grade students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol.

This research used experimental quantitative method with pre-test and posttest design. The population was all of the students at the eleventh grade students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol as experimental class consisting of 13 students and PI-1 grade as control class consisting of 12students. The data were collected through pre-test and post-test in writing test and analyzed by using T-test formula.

The result of this research showed that the mean score of experimental class was higher than the mean score of control class after learning by collaborative writing strategy. The mean score of experimental class in pre-test was 47.6 and the mean score of control class in pre-test was 41.02. Moreover, the mean score of experimental class in post-test was 56.74 and the mean score of control class in post-test was 56.74 and the mean score of control class in post-test was 48.35. In addition, after doing T-test, this study found that $t_{count}>t_{table}(1.41>9.488)$. Therefore, alternative hypothesis (H_a) of this study was accepted, null hypothesis (H₀) was rejected. It can be concluded that there was effect of collaborative writing strategy on students' writing recount text at the eleventh grade students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. The result this research contribute and can be useful and improving students writing recount text especially on writing recount text by using collaborative writing strategy.

Key words: The Effect, Collaborative Writing Strategy, Writing Recount Text

Nama	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
No. registrasi	: 17 203 00059
Fakultas	: Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan
Department	: Tadris Bahasa Inggris
Title of Thesis	: The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on
	Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade
	Students of MA PesantrenMardhatillah

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini fokus pada pengaruh dari metode collaborative writing terhadap penguasaan menulis siswa di MA pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. Ada beberapa masalah yang dihadapi siswa dalam menulis teks recount diantaranya siswa tidak bisa menentukan jenis-jenis teks, siswa masih kurang memahami grammar, generic structure, adan language features.

Ada tiga rumusan masalah dalam penelitian ini, yaitu bagaimana penguasaan menulis siswa dalam teks recount sebelum menggunakan strategy collaborative writing, bagaimana pengusaan menulis teks recount siswa sesudah menggunakan strategy collaborative writing, dan apakah ada pengaruh yang signifikan dalam penggunaan metode strategy collaborative writing terhadap penguasaan menulis teks recount siswa kelas 11 Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kuantitatif ekperimen dengan desain pre-test dan post-test. Populasinya dalah keseluruhan murid kelas 11 Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. Sampelnya adalah kelas PI-1 sebagai kelas eksperimen yang terdiri dari 13 siswa dan PA-2 sebagai kelas control yang terdiri dari 12 siswa. Data dikumpulkan melalui pre-test dan post-test dalam bentuk soal writing dan analisis menggunakan rumus T-test.

Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa hasil rata-rata skor kelas eksperimen lebih tinggi daripada kelas control sesudah menggunakan strategy collaborative writing. Rata-rata skor dari kelas eksperimen di pre-test adalah 47.6 dan skor ratarata di kelas control di pre-test adalah 41.02dan skor rata-rata kelas eksperimen di post-test adalah 56.74 dan skor rata-rata kelas control di post-test 48.35. Selain itu, setelah dilakukan uji-t ditemukan bahwa t_{hitung} > t_{tabel} (1.41>9,488). Oleh karena itu, hipotesis alternatif (H_a) dari penelitian ini diterima dan hipotesis nol (H₀) ditolak. Dapat disimpulkan bahwa ada pengaruh dari strategy Think Pair Share terhadap kemampuan menulis teks recount siswa kelas 11 di pesantern mardhtillah tanoponggol.

Kata kunci: Pengaruh, Strategy Collaborative Writing, MenulisTeks Recount

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

إ

First of all, let the researcher says a lot of praise and Alhamdulillah to Allah SWT, as the best Creator of everything in the world, and as the most Merciful who has given to the researcher the health, time, knowledge, chance and spirit so the researcher can accomplish her thesis entitled "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Elevent Grade of Students MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol". The Second, shalawat and salaam upon to the prophet Muhammad SAW that had guided the human beings from **the dark era to the bright era**.

In finishing this thesis, the researcher faced many troubles and difficulties. It is a pleasure to acknowledge the help and contribution to all of lecturers, institution, family and friends who have contributed in different ways hence this thesis is processed until it becomes a complete writing. I got a lot of guidance, inspiration and motivation during writing this thesis. Although, in this opportunity I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the following people:

- Mrs. Fitri Rayani Siregar, M.Hum, as my first and academic advisor and Mrs. Sri Minda, M.Hum, as my second advisor who have guided me for finishing this thesis, who have been the great advisors and gave me much knowledge, idea and suggestion sincerely and patiently during the progress of writing this thesis.
- Mr. Dr. H. Muhammad Darwis Dasopang, M. Ag., as the Rector of Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary State Islamic University Padangsidimpuan
- Thanks to Dean of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Faculty Mrs. Dr. Lelya Hilda, M.Si.

- Special thanks to the of Chief English Education Department, Mrs. FitriRayaniSiregar, M. Hum. who supported every requirements of finishing my thesis.
- All lecturers and all the cavities academic of Syekh Ali Hasan Ahmad Addary State Islamic University Padangsidimpuan
- 6. who had given so much knowledge and helped during I studied in this institute.
- 7. My beloved parent (Mr. Nikman Hasibuan my best mother Mrs.Nefy Sahrawani Harahap), my lovely Sister (Fitrini Hasibuan, and Beloved my Brothers Muharram Jamar, Maulid Habibullah, Qorib Hidayatullah) who always give me a lot of love, affection, attention, prayers and big spirit how to be patient and survive in any condition by my own self, who always give me motivation to achieve my dream, and who have been my inspiration.
- Mrs. Yusraini Harahap, S.Pd, as Headmaster and as my Co-teacher of Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol.
- 9. Big thanks my beloved friends, Afrida and Annisa all my friends TBI-1-TBI-3 especially all my beloved friend TBI-2. Thank you for all the things done to everyone who gave helps whether mention or not to finish the thesis.
- 10. Last but not least, I want to thanks me, for believing in me, for doing all the hard work, for having no days offsuggestion

Nothing in the world is perfect; the researcher realizes that there are still many shortcomings in this thesis. Therefore, the researcher would be very grateful for correction to improve this thesis. Comments and criticism are also expected from all the readers of this thesis.

Padangsidimpuan,November 2022 Researcher

ANITA ADHA HASIBUAN Reg. No. 17 203 00059

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITTLE PAGE
LEGALIZATION ADVISOR SHEET
AGREEMENT ADVISOR SHEET
DECLARATION OF SHEET THESIS COMPLETION
AGREEMENT PUBLICATION OF FINAL TASK FOR
ACADEMIC CIVITY
SCHOLAR MUNAQOSAH EXAMINATION
LEGALIZATION OF DECAN OF TARBIYAH AND
TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY
ABSTARCTi
ABSTRACTii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiii
TABLE OF CONTENTSvi
LIST OF TABLESix
LIST OF FIGURESx
LIST OF APPENDIXESxi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION
A. Background of the Problem1
B. Identification of the Problem5
C. Limitation of the Problem5
D. Formulation of the problem
E. Objective of the Problem
F. Significances of the Research
G. The Definition of Operational Variables7
H. The Outline of the Thesis

CHAPTER II : LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Theoretical Description9
1. Writing Recount Text9
 a. Definition of Recount Text
b. The Activities Type of Collaborative Writing Strategy 19
c. Procedure of Collaborative Writing Strategy21
d. Advantages of Collaborative Writing Strategy22
e. Disadvantages of Collaborative Writing
Strategy23
B. Teaching Writing Recount Text by Using Collaborative Writing Strategy
C. Conventional Teaching Writing
D. Review of Related Finding27
E. Conceptual Framework
F. Hypothesis

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD

A.	Place and Time of Research	34
B.	Research Design	34
C.	Population and Sample	35
D.	Validity and Reliability	37
E.	Technique of Collecting Data	37

F.	Technique of Data Analysis	40
----	----------------------------	----

CHAPTER IV: THE RESULT OF RESARCH

A. Data Description	43
B. Hypothesis Test	51
C. Discussion of the Result	
D. Treat of the Research	55

CHAPTER V: THE CONCLUTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

A.	Conclusions	56
В.	Suggestions	57

REFERENCES

APPENDIXES

CURRICULUM VITAE

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 : Indicator of Writing Assessment	11
Table 2 : Research Design	31
Table 3 : Population of the Research	32
Table 4 : Sample of the Research	35
Table 5 : Treatment of the Research	39
Table 6 : Score of Pre-Test in Experimental Class	40
Table 7 : Frequency Distribution of Experimental Class	41
Table 8 : Score of Pre-Test in Control Class	42
Table 9 : Frequency Distribution of Control Class	43
Table 10 : Score of Post-Test in Experimental Class	43
Table 11 : Frequency Distribution of Experimental Class	44
Table 12 : Score of Post-Test in Control Class	45
Table 13 : Frequency Distribution of Control Class	46
Table 14 : Independent T-Test	47
Table 15 : Gain Score	48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figures 1 Description Data of Pre-Test in Experimental Class	.40
Figures 2 Description Data of Pre-Test in Control Class	.42
Figures 3 Description Data of Post-Test in Experimental Class	.44
Figures 4 Description Data of Post-Test in Control Class	.46

LIST OF APPENDIX

- Appendix 1 : Lesson plant of Experimental Class
- Appendix 2 : Lesson plant of Control Class
- Appendix 3 : Instrument of Writing Test for Pre-Test in Experimental Class
- Appendix 4 : Instrument of Writing Test for Post-Test in Control Class
- Appendix 5 : From of Construct Validity for Writing Materi Test
- Appendix 6 : Score of Experimental Class and Control Class in Pre-Test
- Appendix 7 : Result of Normality Test in Pre-Test
- Appendix 8 : Homogenity Test in Pre-Test
- Appendix 9 : Score of Experimental Class and Control Class in Post-Test
- Appendix 10 : Result of Normality Test in Post-Test
- Appendix 11 : Homogenity Test in Post-Test
- Appendix 12 : T-Test of the Both Average in Pre-Test
- Appendix 13 : T-Test of the Both Average in Post-Test
- Appendix 14 : Chi-Square Table
- Appendix 15 : Percentage Points of the T Distribution
- Appendixv16 : Research Documentation

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. The Background of The Problem

Writing is not an easy job. Almost every one that writing is a difficult activity because in writing there are many things that must be considered such a grammar, organization, vocabulary, content, and language use. Writing requires hard work or continuous practice to get good writing result. In accordance with this statement, Langan pointed out that writing is skill that anyone can learn with practice. It can be conducted that writing needs to be practice a lot.¹The more often we practice in writing the better the writing we will produce.

English is important to learn it because the language used to all over things is English such as game online, social networking, transportation, products, a thing that is used daily life There are still many reason why writing English is difficult.² They feel confused when they have to write because they do not even know how to get started to write.

According to school Based-Curriculum (KTSP-2006), the students have to be able to write in five kinds of text in junior high school; such as descriptive, narrative, report, recount, and procedure. All text has different social function, generic structures, and grammatical features. For junior

¹John Langan, *Exploring Writing Sentences and Paragraphs, New York: Mc Graw Hill,* Second Edi (New York: McGraw- Hill, 2010), p.10, https://f.openpdfs.org/7jgkRlmBdMV.pdf#pdfjs.action=download.

² Fitri Rayani Siregar and Rahmadiny Siregar, "Students' Motivation in Learning English," *PROJECT (Professional Journal of English Education)* 2, no. 4 (2019): 179, https://doi.org/10.22460/project.v2i4.p539-544.

high school students, one of the texts that they learn is recount which belongs to story genres in which it is function is to enable people to retell a series of events or experiences in the past for the purpose of informing and entertaining.

Recount text is a text which told about something that happened in the past. The details in a recount could include what happened, who is involved, where take a place, when it happened and why it occurred. Its purpose or goal is to entertain or inform the past activity to the reader or listener.

Based on the researcher pre-observation in Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol, teaching English learning process is once a week in this school. The researcher interviewed an English teacher that is Elsi Dayanti,S.Pd. Who teaches English at the Islamic Senior High School.However Dayanti, Saidif it is about the variation of techique in writing, shemakes various techniques in teaching writing, but thestudents have difficulty in writing.³

When teaching writing in classroom, the teacher entered in the classroom and the teacher told to the students to open the book. Then, the teacher explained about the material and gave an example about the material, the teacher writes on the white board first, then the students rewrite

³Elsi Dayanti, "Private Interview to the English Teacher of Pesantren Mardhatillah" (Tanoponggol: Pesantren Mardhatillah 2021)

the same word as the teacher wrote on board. After that the teacher asked the students to write down a paragraph and collected the text.

From the observation the researcher found that there are some problems of writing ability that can be caused by many factors. The first, students writing achievement was low. Based on the information from the English teacher in Pesantern Mardhatillah Tanoponggol, the students were poor vocabulary. The students were difficult to write because the students did not have many vocabularies, structure, technique and ideas, even some of them have some ideas in their mind, but they did not how to express their ideas in writing. If the teacher ordered them to write paragraph for instance the students were directly opened dictionary. For the consequence in final semester, the students ware difficult to write paragraph.

The second, based on information from teacher, problems regarded to write skill happened was hard to use on society for communication, the student were not have desired to write. Students were not interested to write because the students were lazy to read the dictionary. The students just enjoyed playing with their friends. Most of them cannot develop their mind when they written so that the students became lazy to write. The last, the students have difficulty in arranging writing because they still have lack of motivation and the teachers still used the same method.

Based on the problem above, teachers' creativity is needed to make the students more active in the classroom activities. It means that in learning process in writing, the students should be more active to learnt writing English, it can be make students interest in studying English especially writing text. The teachers should have the various techniques to teaching the students. There are some techniques that can be used by the teacher in teaching writing, such us parallel writing technique, chain writing technique, and combining sentence. The researcher will use a different strategy. The strategy is that will be used collaborative writing strategy.

According to Grahan and Perin collaborative writing is a strategy where the students work together to plan, draft, reflect, and their compositions.⁴In addition toSukirman collaborative writing strategy in which students are asked to work together in pair or group in order to produce a good writing. This strategy facilitates students to write a certain text with their peers. It is generally considered as two or more persons writing.⁵

The effectiveness of collaborative writing was been prove by some other researcher. The first is in Aulia finding. Collaborative writing offers an authentic environment where students do not only develop their writing skills but also critical thinking and decision making skills. So, the collaborative writing strategy was selected because it was to be able to simulate the students to be more involved in the writing especially to write recount text.

⁴Dolores Graham, S. and Perin, *Effective Strategies to Improve English Teaching Writing of Adolescents in Midle and High School* (New York: Alliance for Excellent Education, 2007), p.4, https://doi.org/10.5220/0006447502170220.

⁵Sukirman, "Using Collaborative Writing in Teaching Writing," *Using Collaborative Writing in Teaching Writing* 2, no. 1 (2016): p.33.

The second is Yuliana finding that collaborative writing is a strategy in writing which students are asked to work together in pair or group in order to procedure a good writing. This strategy is to facilitate students to write a certain text white their peers.

Based on explanation above, the researcher is motive to conduct Collaborative Writing Strategy to teach writing at Eleventh Grade students of MAPesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol. The researcher also wants to know whether Collaborative Writing Strategy have significant effect to students writing recount text.

B. Identification of The Problem

Based on the problem above, it could be seen that some problems in writing ability at PesanternMardhatillahTanoponggolas following are:

- 1. Students are lazy to write
- 2. Students are lack of motivation in writing
- 3. The students are lack of vocabulary, structure, technique and ideas
- 4. Students do not know to express their ideas in writing

C. Limitation of The Research

This research is limited only in writing strategy that is collaborative writing strategy on students' writing recount text and also it is limited only for senior high school in Pesantern Mardhatillah Tanoponggol.

D. Formulation of The Problem

Based on identification of the problem above, the researcher can formulated the problems as follows:

- 1. How is students' writing recount text before using collaborative writing strategy?
- 2. How is the students' writing recount text after using collaborative writing strategy?
- 3. Is there any significant effect of collaborative writing strategy to students writing recount text?

E. Objectives of The Research

From the above formulation, the purposes of this research are:

- To know collaborative writing strategy significant effect to the students' writing recount text at Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol?
- To describe collaborative writing strategy significant effect to the students' writing recount text at Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol?

F. Significances of The Research

The significances of the research are:

 For the teacher, in learning process, the teacher can apply this technique to make the students motivated in learning English especially on writing recount text.

- 2. For students, this research makes students more interest about writing text activity, especially in writing recount text by using free writing technique.
- For the readers, the result of this research makes the readers are motivated and get additional knowledge about technique for teaching writing.
- 4. For the researcher, the result of this research is expected can be the useful information and to increase knowledge and to create another idea about a good technique for teaching writing especially in recount text.

G. The Definition of Operational Variables

The writer has conveyed some important theories of this research. Therefore, the researcher concludes there are two variables they are as follow:

1. Collaborative writing

Collaborative writing is nonthreatening approach for students that results in purposeful usage of the target language across skills and demonstrable improvements in writing.

2. Writing Recount Text

Recount is a text that told past events which occurred in a sequence. It could be concluded that recount was sequential text that did little more than sequence a series of event. Every story no matter how simple, need an orientation. Indeed, it is impossible to tell a story unless we see that there is set up in a particular time and place.

H. The Outline of the Thesis

The systematic of this research is divided into five chapters. Each chapter consist of many sub chapters are follow: chapter one, consisted of introduction, they are: the background of the problem, identification of the problem, limitation of the problem, the formulation of the problem objectives of the problem, significances of the research, the definition of operational variables.

In chapter two, it is consist of the theoretical description, which consist of sub chapters such as theoretical description of writing recount text, and description of collaborative writing strategy, then review of related findings, conceptual frame work and hypothesis

In chapter three, it is consist of research methodology which consists of time and place of the research, research methodology, population and sample, technique of data collecting and the last technique of data analysis.

In chapter four, it is the result of the research talking about the analysis of data, hypothesis testing, discussion and the threats of research.

Finally, in chapter five consist of conclusion that is giving conclusion about the result of the research and suggestion that given suggestion to the students and teachers by research.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Theoretical Description

1. Writing Recount Text

a. Definition of Recount Text

Recount is a kind of genre used to retell events for the purpose of informing or entertaining.¹ Thus, recount is typically told entertain by dealing with a sequence of events that establishes a relationship between a writer, reader and listener.

According to Marza recount text is a text that retells past events, in order in which they happened. The purpose is to inform or entertain the reader. Recount is a piece of text that retells past events, usually in the order which is they happened and recount text as a kind of text hat is aimed to inform about an even in the past.²

From the explanation about, it can be conclude if recount text is a text that used informs or entertaining people about event or experiences that happened in the past.

¹ Hartono, Rudi, Genre of Text, (Semarang: Unness, 2005), p.6.

²Muhd. Hafizh Lina Marza, "Teaching Writing Recount Text to Junior High-School Students by Using Facebook Peer-Comment," *Journal of English Language Teaching* 1, no. 2 (2013).

b. Generic Structure of Recount Text

The structural elements of recount text consist of:

- a. Orientation consists of opening, introduction the participant, time and place. Orientation refers of the text which gives setting or introducing.
- b. Even is a part structure of recount text that contains sequences of phenomenon or tells about what happened in the story
- c. Re-orientations the last structure of recount text, reorientation contains optional closure of the event in the text. Reorientation refers to the part of the text that gives indication that events in the text have finished. Reorientation contains the brief conclusion of the record event. The conclusion of reorientation is closing or finishing of the story. ³

c. Language Features of Recount Text

The language features in recount text, such as:

a) Using predicate followed by past tense, past perfect tense and

past continuous.

- b) Using verb of doing, such as; went, took, saw, got, departed, etc
- c) Using of adjective to show the personal attitude, such as; it was wonderful, it was fun, we had a good time, etc.
- d) Using sequence markers, such as; first, second, next, after that and finally.

³OtongSetiawanDjuharie, Essay Writing, (Bandung: Cv. YramaWidya, 2009), p. 173.

d. Example of Recount Text

MY EXPERIENCE IN THE BOARDING HOUSE
Orientation: Two years ago, I lived in one of popular boarding houses which was located in Gucialit Lumajang.
Event 1: On the first day when I had arrived there I was so surprised to see a crowded condition. Then I attended around a boarding house everything must be queue such as take a both, buy a rice, etc.
Event 2: Day by day I joined a test which followed by most students but usually who were chosen had better skill to improve their ability.
Event 3: I was fired to join the activity but deepen of my heart I felt very happy because I got a lot of friends, knowledge, and a new experience.
Reorientation: In a long day I enjoyed my day with many kinds of activity.
MY GRANDPA'S FUNERAL IN TORAJA
Last month my family and I went to Toroja to attend Grandpa's funeral. It was my first time to go to such a ceremony. We gathered there with our kin in the ceremony.
Overall, the ceremony was quite elaborate. It took about a week. Several days before the ceremony was done, grandpa's body was kept in a series of houses arranged in a circular row around an open field called tangkanan. His corpse was dressed in a fine wearing.
The funeral was performed in two phases. First, we slaughtered the pigs and buffalaes, and then moved the carpse to face north. In this ceremony we wore black clothes. After that, the carpse was placed in a sandal wood coffin. Then, it was brought out of the house and placed
on an open platform beneath the granary. Meanwhile, my uncle, my brother, and I prepared the wooden pupped and a funeral tower called lakian. The next phase of the ceremony was held in this place. The coffin is borne from the house and placed in the lakian. During the day, there were also buffaio matches. They were great matches. In the night, we were feasing chanting, and drancing
On the last day, the grandpa's coffin was lowered from the funeral tower and brought up to the mountain side family graveyard. It was followed by areat shouling and excitement from the relatives and the
guests. Finally, we installed the wooden puppert on a high balcony where other puppers representing the members of a whole family were already there. The funeral ceremonies made my family and me fired. However, we were grateful because it ran smoothly.

e. Writing assessment

Based on Longlam Dictionary of Language teaching, assessment can be defined as the systematic approach in collecting information of the learners or the learning process.⁵ Assessment can be conducted through several sources. Test, questionnaires, interview, those things, information can be gained as to control and evaluate the learning process.

Teacher should understand if the students are learning about writing, the students have to write, and assessed them in the form of writing.

⁵Jack C. Richards and Richard W. Schmidt, *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, Third Edit (London, 2022), p.18.,

No	Category	Scor	Level	Criteria	
1	Content	e 30- 27	Excellent to very good	Knowledge Substantive Through development of thesis Relevant to assigned topic	
		26-22	Good to average	Some knowledge of subject Adequate range Limited development of thesis Mostly relevant to topic but lucks detail	
		21- 17	Fair to poor	Limited knowledge of subject Little substance Inadequate development of topic	
		16- 13	Very poor	Does not communicate No organization Not enough to evaluate	
2	Organizati on	20- 18	Excellent to very good	Fluent expression Ideas clearly stated/supported Well-organized Logical sequencing Cohesive	
		17- 14	Good to average	Somewhat choppy Loosely organized but main ideas stand out Limited support Logical sequencing and development	
		13- 10	Fair to poor	Non-fluent Ideas confused or disconnected Lacks logical sequencing and development	

Table 2.1Analytical scoring by guidance Jacob, at al6

⁶Holly L. et al Jacobs, "Testing ESL Composition : A Practical Approach," no. January 1981 (1981): p.140.

		9-7	Very	Does not communicate	
			poor	No organization	
				Or not enough to evaluate	
3	Language	25-	Excellent	Effective complex	
	use	22	to very	construction	
			good	Few errors of agreement,	
				tense, number, word	
				order/function, articles,	
				pronoun, preposition	
		21-	Good to	Effective but simple	
		18	average	construction	
			C	Minor problems in complex	
				construction	
				Several errors of agreement	
				tense, number, word	
				order/function. articles.	
				pronoun, preposition but	
				meaning seldom obscured	
		17-	Fair to	Major problem in simple	
		11	poor	complex construction	
			I · · ·	Frequent errors of negation	
				tense. number. word	
				order/function. articles.	
				pronoun, prepositions and or	
				fragments, run-ons, deletion	
				Meaning confused or	
				obscured	
		10-5	Verv	Virtually no mastery or	
			poor	sentence construction rules	
			I · · ·	Dominated by errors	
				Does not communicate or not	
				enough to evaluate	
4	Vocabular	20-	Excellent	Sophisticated range	
	y	18	to verv	Effective word/idiom choice	
			good	and usage	
				Word from mastery	
				appropriate register	
		17-	Good to	Adequate range	
		14	average	Occasional errors	
				word/idiom choice and usage	
				but meaning confused or	
				obscured	
		13-	Fair to	Limited range	
		10	poor	Frequent errors of errors	
			r	word/idiom, choice, and	

				usage but meaning confused or obscured
		9-7	Very poor	Essentially translation Little knowledge of English vocabulary, idioms, word from or not enough to evaluate
5	Mechanics	5	Excellent to very good	Demonstrates mastery of conventions Few errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing
		4	Good to average	Occasional errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing but meaning not obscured
		3	Fair to poor	Frequent errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing Poor handwriting Meaning confused or obscured
		2	Very poor	No mastery of conventions Dominated by errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, hand writing illegible or not enough to evaluate

According to Arikunto there were five components to categorize students' writing ability:

Table 2.2Classification of Student's Score					
No	Number of score	Predicate			
1	80-100	Very good			
2	66-79	Good			
3	56-65	Enough			
4	40-45	Less			
5	30-39	Fail			

f. Assessment of Recount Text

Scoring rubric for the recount text is chosen as the assessment tool. Lee has created a scoring rubric that evaluates students' recount text writing based on content, structure, and language features. He also provides description for each evaluation criteria. The description is useful for a teacher or ratter in measuring students' writing.

In Lee rubrics the rating scale consist of four points, namely excellent (4), pretty good (3), average (2), and need improvement (1). The following Lee's rubric:

Table 2.3Analytical scoring by guidance Lee7

Evalua	atio				
recount		4: Excellent	3: pretty Good	2: average	1: Needs improvement
Cont ent and struc ture	a.	A very clear orientation, establishing who was involved, where, and when the events	A generally clear orientation which provides necessary background information	Some missing information in the orientation	Lots ⁸ of missing information in the orientation
	b.	Past events are sequenced in very clear order	Past events are generally clearly sequenced	Some events no in right order	Past events are all over the place; hard to figure out a clear
	с.	Very appropriate and impressive ending-ending whit a feeling, a thought or a reflection	Appropriate ending	And ending is provided, but it is not very appropriate	No ending is provided
Lang uage featu res	a.	Past tense verbs almost com[lately accurate	Tense generally accurate	Quite a number of tense errors	Full of tense errors
	b.	Very appropriate and accurate use of time expressions to link up events	Generally good use of time expressions to link up events	Sometime expressions to link up events	No time expressions to link up events
	c.	A large range of appropriate words to describe events	A good range of words to describe events	Some good words to describe events	An extremely limited range of words to describe events

⁷Icy Lee, *Classroom Writing Assessment and Feedback in L2 School* (Singapore: Springer Nature, 2017), p.18.
2. Collaborative Writing Strategy

a. Definition of Collaborative Writing Strategy

According to Dale also collaborative writing involves meaningful interaction and shared decision between group members in writing of shared document.⁹In addition to Khainur collaborative writing is one of best technique to help students in developing their writing skills especially in big classes.¹⁰Saunders says collaborative writing is distinct from other collaborative activities, such as collaborative publishing, because peers are expected to interact and contribute throughout each of the following task.¹¹

Collaborative has close meaning with cooperative and we also say that they can combine. Based on JanesBauwens and Jack j. Houcade, they suggest three approaches to implement in collaborative teaching writing: team learning, supportive learning activities, and complementary instruction. Firstly Bauwens and Houcade describe team learning as educated subject join planning and present subject content, secondly supportive learning activities reinforcement, enrichment in writing learning for all students. Thirdly, complementary instruction as one educator takes primary

⁹Helen Dale, *Co-Authoring in the Classroom : Creating an Environmentfor Effective Collaboration*, 1997, p.11.

¹⁰Faradilla Fatich Narulita Mahendra, "The Effect of Using Collaborative Writing Method on Students' Writing Recount Text at Grade Ten of SMK YP 1 HKBP Pematangsiantar," *Journal of Teaching and Education* 2, no. 1 (2020).

¹¹ Sauders, W. M. "Collaborative Writing and Process Writing Approach: The Effect and Students Perception," *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)* 5, no. 2 (2020), https://doi.org/10.21070/jees.v5i2.773.

responsibility for teaching content material and the other for teaching functional how to skills to understand for all students and acquire the content material.

Collaborative writing is nonthreatening approach for students that result in purposeful usage of the target language across skills and demonstrable improvements in writing. By providing methodical guidelines and lending support, the teacher can execute this approach without major logistical drawbacks. It is important to give the students a chance to assess the method as through careful analysis of students' feedback.¹²

b. The activities Type of Collaborative Writing Strategy

1. Using the Board

One way of making collaborative writing successful is to havestudents write on the board. This gets them out of their chairs; it isespecially appropriate for those who respond well to kinaesthetic stimuli. Italso allows everyone to see what is going on. It has two activities how theboard can be used in this way:

¹²Christopher Mulligan and Russell Garofalo, "A Collaborative Writing Approach: Methodology and Student Assessment," *The Language Teacher* 35, no. 3 (2011): 5, https://doi.org/10.37546/jalttlt35.3-1.

a) Sentence by Sentence

The students built up a letter in reply to an invitation on the board, sentence by sentence. Each time a new student goes up to the board in such activities, the rest of the class can help by offering suggestion, corrections, or alternatives. This kind of writing activity has the great advantages of creating a clear focus for everyone in the classroom, and can create a feeling of shared.

b) Digtogloss.

Students recreate a text or story that the teacher read to them. One purpose of the activity is to focus the students' attention on specific items of language by getting them to analyses the differencebetween their written recreations and the original which they have heard.

2. Writing in groups and pairs

There are many activities which are suitable for students writing inpairs and groups. Some of them depend on a scribe to write the finalversion of the piece, while some of them involve every single personwriting their own version of the text.

Rewriting activity, students are presented with a stereotypical statement and asked to amend it to reflect the opinions of the group. This provokes discussion not only about topic but also abouthow to write a consensus opinion appropriately. The teacher has chosen atopic for the students to consider. The students are then presented withsome example of stereotypical statements. Or they rewrite the sentences sothat they accurately reflect the views of the group.

Story reconstruction in their new groups students have to work out a sequence for the four pictures and then create a written text whichtells the story of that sequence. This activity works well. It provokes a lotof discussion which, in turn, gets students to write with enthusiasm.¹³

c. Procedure of Collaborative writing Strategy

According to Elizabeth, there are several procedures that should be done to implement collaborative writing strategy in teaching writing. The procedures of teaching writing are as follows:

- 1. The teacher divides the students into pairs or triads
- 2. The teacher asks the students to write recount text based on the topic
- 3. Students organize their ideas and crate on outline
- 4. Students selecting parts of the selection for each students to write initial drafts individually
- 5. Team combine individual sections into a single paper

¹³Jeremy Harmer, "How to Teach Writing" (Malaysia: Longman, 2004), 73-74.

- 6. Team revises and edit their work, checking for content and clarity as well as grammar, spelling and punctuation.
- After the final edit, teams submit the students' paper to the teacher for assessment and evaluation.¹⁴

d. Advantages of Collaborative writing strategy

Collaborative writing has many advantages as a functional unit of collaborative learning. Sherman states that in collaborative writing the students are relying on peers for learning. It means that students work together to teach one another and the students between the roles of students and teacher. Based on the statement, it can be concluded that collaborative writing strategy has same advantages such as:

- a) It can promote effective learning
- b) It can combine both motivational and cognitive approach to collaboration
- c) Promote cognitive process through a structured approach to teaching and learning within a tutoring context
- d) It can promote the students' achievement and the student's self-confidence.

¹⁴Elizabeth F. Barkley, *Collaborative Writing Technique* (Jossey-Bass, 2005), 256.

e. Disadvantages Collaborative Writing Strategy

Theresome disadvantages of collaborative writing such as:

- 1. May not early represent group's interactions and less consensus
- Lose sense of groups subsequent writers may invalidate previous work, lack of consensus, version control problems, inefficient, and one person bottle necks
- Writers can be blind to teach other's work, redundant work can be produced if poorly planned, stylist differences, potential information overload, and does not recognize individual talent differences well.¹⁵

B. Teaching Writing Recount Text by Using Collaborative Writing Strategy

The findings of the current study can be concluded that teaching procedures in English teaching writing consist of pre-teaching, while-teaching, and post-teaching. Prabowo said "The pre-teaching contains the opening, greeting, praying, warming up process, giving motivation, and reviewing the materials. The while-teaching contains the teaching and practicing process. The post-test contains the evaluating and closing."¹⁶ A collaborative writing strategy has some steps, it can be found in while-teaching and post teaching.

¹⁵Sukirman, "Using Collaborative Writing in Teaching Writing," p.13.

¹⁶AdityaPrabowo, "The Process of English Teaching Writing for Students With Special Needs at Harmony Junior High School of Surakarta." Mathematical Journal Education 1, no.1 (2018): 75, http://doi.org/10.29333/aje/2019/423a

Teacher Procedures Students No 1 A. Pre-Teaching 1.Teacher 1.Students listen to the opens the class by greeting and teacher. prepares the students to 2. Students answer the pray before learn. teacher's greeting and pray before learn. 2.Teacher 1. Students listen to the check the students attendence list. teacher. 2. Students state the attendence by saying present. 3.Teacher ask the 1. Students answer the students to tell about teacher questions their experience about about their their holiday experience. 4. Teacher tellsabout 1. Students listen theteacher's experience carefully the to toactive teacher. thestudents'background 2. Students add some of material notes from the teacher. 2 B. While-Teaching 1. The teacher explains 1. Idea generationThis 1.Students pay about recount text and attention stages isgetting, to the gives an exampleof thestudents' ideas teacher. recount text. Then, the through encouraging 2. Students remaind andbrainstormingthem the word picture teacherasks the studentsto give so that questionsaboutthemateri theyareabletogeneratei aland answer deasforthetopic thequestion. 2. Teacher dividesthe 1.Students sit in their students intogroups and groups. givesa topic. Then 2.Students read the theteacher asks topic in their groups thestudents to thinkthe 3. students thinks the ideas about the topic. ideas 3. The teacher asks the 1.Reading In this step, 1.Students read their students to write an thewriter reads important points

Table 2.4 Teaching Recount Text by Using Collaborative Writing Strategy

	important point of	thedraft and	•
	recount text based on the	givessomecorrections	
	topic.		
	4. The teacher explains the strategy that will be used in the process of writing, namely the collaborative writing strategy.		1.The students Write the teacher said 2.The students check their story
3	C. Post- Teaching		
	1. Teacher ask the students about their understanding about the material.	1. Feedback	1. Students answer the teacher's question and tell their problem.
	2. The teacher might conclude or summarize the lesson by himself or together with the students.		 Listen to the teacher. The students make a summarize while the teacher conclude the lesson.
	3. Teacher closes the teaching- learning activity.		4. Students give the greeting to the teacher.

C. Conventional Teaching Speaking

Conventional teaching is the strategy or the way usually used by the teachers to teach the vocabulary to the students. Conventional teaching or traditional teaching refers to a teaching method involving instructors and the students interacting in a face-to-face manner in the classroom. These instructors initiate discussions in the classroom, and focus exclusively on knowing content in textbooks and notes. Students receive the information passively and reiterate the information memorized in the exams.¹⁷

Teacher technique is the technique, procedure or detail list of rules that teacher usually use while the process of teaching. In this case, the teacher has a big responsibility on the lesson fluency. Here the researcher explains about the technique of teaching by the teacher at PesanternMardotillahTanoponngol as follows:

The teacher asks the students to open the material in the text book about recount text.

- The teacher explains the meaning of recount text and generic structure of recount text.
- The teacher asks the students to write a text which tell about recount text
- The teacher give time for students to write the text based on the topic that has been determined by the teacher.

¹⁷Yap Wei Li, "Transforming Conventional Teaching Classroom to Learner-Centred Teaching Classroom Using Multimedia-Mediated Learning Module," *International Journal of Information and Education Technology* 6, no. 2 (2016): 105–12, https://doi.org/10.7763/ijiet.2016.v6.667.

4) The task collected by the teacher.

The conventional also has some advantages and disadvantages.

- a. Here some advantages. Here overuse of the conventional teaching:
 - 1) Teachers easily master class.
 - 2) The material is easy to organize.
 - 3) The conventional method could be followed by a large number of students.
 - The conventional method is easy to prepare and carry it out. The teachers easily teach lessons well.¹⁸
- b. The conventional method also has some disadvantages. The

disadvantages of conventional teaching as follows:

- 1) The conventional teaching makes the students feel boring when used at all times and too long.
- 2) The teacher is difficult to conclude that students understand and are interested in learning-teaching process.
- 3) The conventional teaching makes the students to be passive.¹⁹

D. Review of Related Findings

This study related to several researchers that had been done. The first isRahmadhani that she concludes analyzing the data, it was conclude that there is a significant effect of teaching writing by using collaborative writing strategy on students' writing achievement. Teaching writing by using collaborative writing strategy gives better result than using conventional method.

¹⁸Wahyuniati, "Keefektifan Model Kontekstual Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Menulis Narasi" (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, 2013), https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.76.6.8501142.

¹⁹Wahyuniati, "Keefektifan Model Kontekstual Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Menulis Narasi" (Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, 2013), https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.76.6.8501142.

The result showed that the value of t-observed is higher than the value of t-table. $(17.02 > 1.667 \ (\alpha = 0.05))$ with the degree of freedom (df) = 78. Based on the data analysis of the study, the result indicates that the alternative hypothesis (Ha) was accepted. It means that there is the significant effect of using Collaborative writing strategy on student achievement in writing recount text.²⁰

The second is Irna. Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that: (1) the studentswho are taught by using Collaborative Writing get better result in students 'writing of recount text than those who are taught by conventional teaching strategy. (2) Students with high reading habit who are taught by Collaborative Writing have better writing achievement of recount text than those who are taught by Conventional Teaching. (3) Students with low reading habit who are taught by collaborative writing get the same result in writing of recount text than those who are taught by using conventional technique.; and (4) There is no interaction between both techniques used and students' reading habits towardstudents' writing of recount text.²¹

The third is findings Yuliana. The result of analysis shows that there were significant effect of usingcollaborative writing technique on students writing ability and motivation At SMP N3 BulikTimur. The students who

²⁰Ramadhani. Aulia Rizky, "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students ' Achievement in Writing Recount Text in Mts Alwashliyah Tembung 2016/2017" (2017).

²¹Irna Wahyuni, "The Effect Collaborative Writing and Reading Habits Toward the Students Writing of Recount Text at the Grade Eight of MTsN Kamang," *Komposisi: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Seni* 15, no. 2 (2014), http://ejournal.unp.ac.id/index.php/komposisi.

taught using collaborative writing technique got higher score in pre-test and post-test with mean (58) in writing test and (79) in writing ability . Moreover, after the data calculated using ANOVA one-way with $5\%(\alpha=0.05)$ level og significant, it found that H_a was accepted and H_owas rejected.

The first result on data analysis, it was showed that teaching using collaborative writing technique was more effective on students writing ability thanteaching without giving collaborative writing technique. It showed that the resultsignificant value was lower than alpha (α =0.05), (0.00< 0.05). That H_a that state students writing ability give effect on descriptive text by using collaborative writingtechnique at SMP N 3 BulikTimur was accepted. And H_othat state Students writingability does not give effect on descriptive text by using collaborative writing.²²

The fourth is Khaerani. That the can conclude based on the findings of the study, the use of collaborative writing strategywas believed to be effective in improving students' writing ability. The collaborative writing strategy encouraged students to generate ideas inwriting the recount texts. During the implementation of the collaborative writing strategy, the researcher used some media assisted this technique such as pictures, games, drawing activities, etc. Those media led the students to have positive responses toward the teaching and learning process. They did not show any

²²Y Yuliana, "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Technique on Student's Writing Ability and Learning Motivation at SMP N 3 Bulik Timur," 2020, http://digilib.iain-palangkaraya.ac.id/id/eprint/3340.

reluctance anymore to be involved in the writing assignments. It also increased their motivation to write during the writing process.²³

From the explanation above the researcher conclude there are differences between this research and the researcher's research are: the formulation of the problem, the result of the data did not give significant effect, the differences in text writing, other researcher use the analytical exposition text. And the similarities between this research and the researcher's research are: has the same title with the researcher's research, uses the same technique pre-test and post-test.

E. Conceptual Framework

Writing is an activity to arrange or relate some words, phrases, sentence, and paragraph become a good written text. Furthermore, writing related to process of describing a language to produce a message so that will be has meaning.

Recount is a kind of genre used to retell events for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Thus, recount is typically told entertain by dealing with a sequence of events that establishes a relationship between a writer, reader and listener.

There are many problems for students in writing skill, especially in teaching writing. The problems in writing are: the students shy and afraid to write well, because the students not have motivation, the students have

²³ Tri OktaviaKhairani, "The Use of Collaborative Writing Strategy in Improving Writing Ability of Class VIII B Students at SMP Muhammadiyah 2 Melati the Academic Year of 2014/2015."

difficulty arranging a word in writing and students still have lack motivation in teaching learning in writing, the students' lack of vocabulary, the students do not know to express their ideas in writing.

So, the researcher wants to investigate the effectiveness of collaborative writing strategy to increase the student's ability especially in writing recount text. collaborative writing makes writing easier in writing which students are asked to work together in pair or group in order to procedure a good writing. This strategy is to facilitate students to write a certain text white their peers.

This research will be done by applying quantitative research. This research uses two classes, which are experimental class and control class. In experimental class that thought by collaborative writing strategy and in control class thought by using teacher technique. In this research, the researcher uses the population sampling technique. The researcher takes all the students population of the grade XI is 31 students as the sample. The instrument of this research is a test and hypothesis of this research are: Alternative hypothesis (Ha): there is a significant effect of using collaborative writing strategy in recount text at Eleventh Grade Students of MA Pesantern Mardhotillah Tanoponggol. Using Null hypothesis (Ho): there is no significant effect of using collaborative writing strategy in recount text at Eleventh Mardhotillah Tanoponggol.

Based on the above, conceptual framework can be seen from the

figure below:





F. Hypothesis

The researcher formulates the hypothesis of the researcher is started as follows:

- Alternative hypothesis (Ha): there is a significant effect of using collaborative writing strategy in recount text at Eleventh Grade Students of MA PesanterMardhotillahTanoponggol.
- Null hypothesis (Ho): there is no significant effect of using collaborative writing strategy in recount text at Eleventh Grade Students of MA PesanternMardhotillahTanoponggol.

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODLOGY

A. Place and time of Research

The location of this research was at Pesantern Mardhotillah Tanoponggol. It was located at Sibolga km 15 Street, Tanoponngol, North Sumatera province. The researcher has started on 26 May 2021 until finish the research.

B. Research Design

The kind of this research was quantitative research with experimental method type true experimental design with pre-test – post-test group design. According to Wiersma, experimental method is a research situation in which at least one independent variable, called the experimental variable, is deliberately manipulated or varied by the researcher.¹ Then, Sugiyono stated that experimental research is a method used to find the effect of treatment on others under controlled conditions.²The researcher used two classes, which were experimental class and control class. The experimental research controlled the selection of participants of the study. In this case, the experimental was the class that thought by collaborative writing strategy. Meanwhile control class was the class thought by used Teacher Technique.

¹Ni Made Ratminingsih, "Penelitian Eksperimental Dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa Kedua," *Prasi* 6, no. 11 (2010): 31–40, https://ejournal.undiksha.

²Sugiyono, "Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, R & D Sugiyono - 2015.Pdf," 2015.

No	Class	Pre -	Treatment	Post-
		Test		Test
1	Experimental class	\checkmark	√ Collaborative writing strategy	\checkmark
2	Control class	\checkmark	Teacher technique	\checkmark

Table 3.1 Collecting Data Design

C. Population and Sample

1) Population

Population is the total number of unit individual that the characteristic or subject of research. According to Sugiyono population is a generalization area consist of object and subject determined by researcher to be studied and then drawn the conclusions.³The research has done for the Eleventh Grade Students of MA PesanternMardhotillahTanoponggol. The population of the research consist of two classes with students. It can be seen from the table follows:

Table 3.6Population of the Eleventh Grade Students of MA
PesanternMardhotillahTanoponggol

No	Class	Total Student		
1	PI- 1	13		
2 PA-2		12		
	Total	25		

³Sugiyono, 80.

2) Sample

Sample is part of the number and characteristics possessed by the population.⁴In this research, the researcher used the population sampling technique. A population sampling is a technique of taking a sample where all of population become sample. The population of the grade XI is 25 students. So, the researcher took all the population as the sample.

Therefore, the researcher divides the group into two classes. The students of PI-1 that consist of 13 studentswere experimental class and the students of PA -2 that control class. Total sample of this research is 25 students.

Table 3.7Sample of the Research

No	Experimental class	Control class
1.	PI-1 = 13	PA-2 = 12

⁴Garaika Darmanah, *Metodologi Penelitian* (Lampung: CV Hira Tech, 2019), https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/xy6uv.

D. Validity and Reliability Test

1. Validityof Test

The test in this research used writing test. So, as a good test must have validity and realiability. A valid instument refers to the extents to which an instrument measures what is supposed to measure. In this reasearch, the researcher used construct validity the test. Contruct validity is the process of how the test estabilishes the representativeness of the item in a certain domain of the ability, tasks, knowledge and another aspect that are being measured.

2. Reliability of Test

The reliability is synonyms with the consintency of a test, survey, observation, or other measuring device. Reliability means consistency and accuracy in scoring that would have come from one measure which is investigated, reliability is one of the characteristic of good test. It referes to the consistency of the measurement.

E. Technique of Collecting Data

To gathering the data from the students, the researcher collected the data by giving the test for the students. They are pre-test, treatment, post-test like in below:

1) Pre-test

The pre-test is conducted to find out the homogeneity of the sample. The pre-test is given to both of the class experimental class and control class. To know the students basic ability of the students before applying collaborative writing strategy, the researcher give some steps for pre-test as follows:

- a. The researcher prepare the essay test about recount text
- b. The researcher distributed the paper of the test and answer sheets to both control and experimental class.
- c. The researcher explains the tips to answer.
- d. The researcher gives the limited to do the test
- e. The students answer the test.
- f. The researcher collects the students answer.
- g. The researcher collects their paper test and check and count the students score.
- 2) Treatment

A treatment is given to show the effect of the research. When treatment given to one of the class. In this case, the experimental class and control class are giving the same material (lesson topic) which talk about recount text. The treatment gives to the experimental class by using collaborative writing strategy, meanwhile control class only do writing learning without treatment like teacher usually use to teach in classroom. The steps of experimental of experimental and control group can be seen as follows:

No	Experimental class activities	Control class activities			
1	The teacher chooses the	The teacher asked the students			
	material which related to the	to open the material in the text			
	recount text	book about recount text			
2	The teacher explains the	the teacher explains the			
	recount text based on generic	meaning of recount text based			
	structure and language	on the generic structure			
	futures				
2					
3	The teacher explains the	The teacher asks the students			
	technique that will be used in	to write the text based on the			
	the process of writing,	topic that has been determined			
	namely the collaborative	by the teacher			
	writing strategy.				
4	The teacher prepares	The teacher ask the students			
	students to write the text	to write a text which tell about			
	about the topic.	recount text			
5	The students start to write	The teacher give time for the			
	with using collaborative	students to write the text of			
	writing strategy	recount text			
6	The students submit their	The task collected by the			
	writing and the teacher	teacher			
	checks students writing				
7	The task collected by the	The task is corrected by the			
	teacher	teacher			

Table 3.4Treatment of the Research

3) Post-test

The post test is given for both of classes after implementing collaborative writing strategy to know the ability of the students after doing treatment. This is post-test is the final test in this research, it is to find out whether there is significant effect or not. In this test, the procedures still same with pre-test before. They are:

- 1. The researcher prepare the essay test about recount text
- 2. The researcher distributed the paper of the test and answer sheets to both control and experimental class.

- 3. The researcher explains the tips to answer.
- 4. The researcher gives the limited to do the test
- 5. The students answer the test.
- 6. The researcher collects the students answer.
- 7. The researcher collects their paper test and check and count the students score.

F. Technique of Data Analysis

In order to find out whether there is a significant using collaborative writing strategy in recount text at Eleventh Grade Students of MA PesanternMardhotillahTanoponggol. The technique of the data analysis that used in this research was T-test formula. As the requirements of the t-test, the data use normality test and homogeneity test.

1. Requirement Test

a. Normality Test

$$X^2 = \sum \frac{fo-fn}{fh}$$

Where:

 X^2 = Chi-Quadrate F_o= Frequency is gotten from the sample or result of observation (questioner) F_h = Frequency is gotten from the sample as image from frequency is hoped from the population⁵

⁵Ahmad Nizar Rangkuti, *Statistik Untuk Penelitian Pendidikan* (Medan: Kelompok Penerbit Perdana Mulya Sarana, 2015).

To calculate the result of Chi-Quadrate, it was used significant level 5% (0.05) and degree of freedom as big as total of frequency was lessened 3 (dk= k-3). if result $x^2_{\text{count} < x^2_{\text{table}}}$. So, it concluded that data was distributed normal.

b. Homogeneity Test

Homogeneity test was used to know whether control class and experimental class have the same variant or not. If both of classes are same, it was can be called homogenous. Homogeneity was the similarity of variance of the group will be compared. So, the function of homogeneity test is to find out whether the data homogeny or not. It use Harley test, as follow:

$$F = \frac{TheBiggest Variant}{TheSmallest Variant}$$

Where: $n_{1=total}$ of the data biggest variant $n_{2=total}$ of the data smallest variant⁶

2. Hypotheses Test

The technique in analyzing the data was used by t-test, because it is aimed to examine the different of two variables. Such examination performed both on pre-test and post-test score from the experimental class and control class. The hypothesis test stated as:

⁶Sugiyono, Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif Dan R&D (Bandung: Alfabeta, 2010).

$$t = \frac{X_1 - X_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}$$

Where:

t	: the value	which the	statistical	significant
---	-------------	-----------	-------------	-------------

- X_1 : the average score of the experimental class
- X_2 : the average score of the control class
- s_1^2 : deviation standard of the experimental class
- s_2^2 : deviation standard of the control class
- n₁ : number of experimental class
- n_2 : number of control class⁷

⁷Sugiyono, *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif Dan R&D* (Bandung: Alfabeta, 2010), 197.

CHAPTER IV

RESULT OF THE RESEARCH

As mentioned in earlier chapter, in order to evaluate the effect of pictures media on speaking mastery, the researcher has calculated the data using pre-test and posttest. The researcher used the formulation of T-test the hypothesis. Next, the researcher described the data as follows:

A. Description of Data

1. Description of Data before Using Collaborative Writing Strategy

a. Score of Pre-Test in Experimental Class

Related to the result of the test in experimental class before using collaborative writing strategy on students writing in the appendix 6 and 8, can be seen in following table:

No	Descriptive	Statistics
1	Highest score	65
2	Lowest score	31
3	Mean	48.35
4	Median	46.35
5	Modus	46
6	Range	34
7	Interval	5
8	Standard deviation	6.9
9	Variant	11.43

Table 4.1The Score of Experimental Class in Pre-Test

Based on the table, the researcher got the highest score was 65 and the lowest score was 31, mean was 48.35, median was 46.35, range was 34, interval was 5, standard deviation was 6.9 and the last variant was 11.43. Then, the calculation of the frequency distribution

of the students' score in experimental class can be applied into frequency table distribution as follows:

Table 4.2

Frequency Distributing of Experimental Class (Pre					
Test)					
No	Interval	Mid point	F	Percentages	
1	31-37	34	3	23.07%	
2	38-44	41	2	15.38%	
3	45-51	48	4	30.76%	
4	52-58	55	1	7.69%	
5	59-65	62	3	23.07%	
	<i>i=</i> 5		13	99.97%	

Based on the table above, the number of students whose class interval between 31-37 was 3 students (23.07%). Class interval 38-44 was 2 students (15.38%), class interval 45-51 (30.76%), class interval 52-58 was 1 student (7.69%), and the class interval 59-65 was 3 students (23.07%). The data from the table above can be seen in the histogram below:



Figure1:Description Data of Students'Experimental Class (Pre-Test)

Related to the result of the pre-test of control class, the researcher calculated was gotten by the students in answering the test at the control class. The score of pre-test control class can be seen in following table:

S	Score of Control Class in Pre-Test				
1	Descriptive	Statistics			
2	Highest score	59			
3	Lowest score	35			
4	Mean	41.02			
5	Median	41			
6	Modus	41.7			
7	Range	24			
8	Interval	4			
9	Standard deviation	9.78			
10	Variant	59.30			

Table 4.3

Based on the table, the researcher got the highest score was 59 and the lowest score was35, mean was41.02, median was 41, modus was41.7, range was 24, interval was 4, standard deviation was9.78and the last variant was59.30. Then, the calculation of the frequency distribution of the students' score of control class can be applied into frequencytable distribution as follows:

	Frequency	distribution	of Contro	l Class (Pre-Test)
No	Interval	Mid point	F	Percentages
1	35-40	37.5	2	16.66%
2	41-47	44.5	4	33.33%
3	48-53	50.5	3	25%
4	54-59	56.5	3	33.33%
			12	99.99%

Table 4.4

Based on the table above, the students score that was there in class interval 35-40 was 2 students (16.66%), class interval 41-47 was 4 students (33.33%), class interval 48-53was 3 students (25%), class interval 54-59 was 3 students (33.33%). The data from the table above can be seen on the histogram below:



Figure 2: Description Data of Student' Control Class (Pre-Test)

2. Description of Data After Using Collaborative Writing Strategy

a. Score of Post-Test Experimental Class

Related to the result of the calculation that had been gotten by the students in answering the question (test) after the researcher

did the treatment by using pictures media can be seen on the table:

No	Descriptive	Statistics
1	Highest score	70
2	Lowest score	52
3	Mean	56.74
4	Median	46.7
5	Modus	59.5
6	Range	18
7	Interval	4
8	Standard deviation	9.3
9	Variant	52.03

Table 4.5Score of Experimental Class in Post Test

Based on the table, the researcher got the highest score was 70 and the lowest score was 52, mean was 56.74, median was 46.7 modus was59.5, range was 18, interval was 4, standard deviation was9.3and the last variant was 52.03. Then, the calculation of the frequency distribution of the students' score of experiment class can be applied into frequency table distribution as follows:

Frequency Distributionof Students' Score				
No	Interval	Mid Point	F	Percentages
1	52-55	53.5	4	30.76%
2	56-59	57.5	1	7.69%
3	60-63	61.5	2	15.38%
4	64-67	65.5	3	23.07%
5	68-70	69.5	3	23.07%
			13	99.97%

 Table 4.6

 requency Distributionof Students' Score

Based on the table , it number of students' score that was there in class interval between 52-55 was 4 students (30.76%), class interval between 56-59 was 1 students (7.69%), class interval 60-63 was 2 students (15.38%), class interval 64-67 was 3 students (23.07%), and the last class interval 68-70 was 2 (23.07%).The data from the table above can be seen on the histogram below:



Figure 3: Description Data of Students' Experimental Class (Post-Test)

b. Score of Post-Test in Control Class

The result of control class in post-test, the researcher took class PA-2 as control class, could had been gotten by the students in answering the question (test) after the researcher taught vocabulary mastery by using conventional method can be seen the table below:

Score of Control Class in Post-Test			
No	Descriptive	Statistics	
1	Highest score	55	
2	Lowest score	36	
3	Mean	47.5	
4	Median	33.55	
5	Modus	42.2	
6	Range	19	
7	Interval	5	
8	Standard deviation	5.55	
9	Variant	36.78	

 Table 4.6

 Score of Control Class in Post-Test

Based on the table, the researcher got the highest score was 55 and the lowest score was 36, mean was47.5, median was 33.55, modus was 42.2, range was 19, interval was 5, standard deviation was 5.55 and the last variant was36.78. Then, the calculation of the frequency distribution of the students' score of control class can be applied into frequency tabledistribution as follows:

	Frequency Distribution of Students' Score				
No	Interval	Mid Point	F	Percentages	
1	36-40	38	3	25%	
2	41-45	43	4	33.33%	
3	46-50	48	2	16.66%	
4	51-55	53	3	25%	
	<i>i</i> =4		12	99.66%	

Table 4.7

Based on the table above, it can be shown that the students score was there in class interval between 36-40 was 3 students (25%), class interval between 41-45 was 4 students (33.33%), class interval 46-50 was 2 students (16.66%), and the class interval 51-55 was 3 students (25%),. The data from the table above, can be seen on the histogram below:



Figure 4: Description Data of Students' Control Class (Post-Test)

Based on the table and histogram above, the students' score it can be seen the comparison between the highest score and the lowest score. From the histogram of students' score of experimental class in post- test shown that the highest interval 51-55 was 3 students and the lowest score interval 36-40 was 3 students.

B. Hypothesis Test

After calculating the data of post-test, researcher has found that posttest result of experimental and control class was normal and homogenous. The data would be analysed to prove the hypothesis. It used formula of ttest. Hypothesis of the research was "there is the effect of collaborative writing strategy on students' writing recount text at the eleventh grade MA PesanterenMardhatillahTanoponggol". The calculation can be seen on appendix:

Table 4.18Result of T-test from the both average

Pre-test		Post-test	
t _{count}	t _{table}	t _{count}	t _{table}
2.36	2.069	5.07	2.069

The hypothesis test has two criteria. They are if $t_{count} < t_{table}$, H₀ was accepted and if $t_{count} > t_{table}$, H_a was accepted. Based on researcher calculation in pre-test, the researcher found that t_{count} 2.36 while t_{table} 2.069 with opportunity $(1 - \alpha) = 1 - 5\% = 4\%$ and dk = n₁ + n₂ - 2 = 13 + 12 - 1 = 23. Cause $t_{count} > t_{table}$ 2.36>2.069), it means that hypothesis H_a was accepted and H₀ was rejected. So, in pre-test, the two classes were same. There is no difference in the both of classes. But, in post-test, the researcher found that t_{count} 5.07while t_{table} 2.069 with opportunity $(1 - \alpha) = 1 - 5\% = 4\%$ and dk = n₁ + n₂ - 2 = 13 + 12 - 1 = 23. Cause $t_{count} > t_{table}$ 5.07> 2.069), it means that hypothesis H_a was accepted and H₀was rejected. So, there was significant effect of collaborative writing strategy in teaching recount text at the eleventh grade of MA pesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol. In this case, the mean score of experimental class by collaborative writing strategy was56.74 and mean score of control class byteachingstrategy was 47.6. The gain score was 1.54. The calculation can be seen in the following table:

Table 4.19				
Gain Score of Experimental Class and Control Class				
	Class	Pre-test	Post-test	Gain score
		10.07		

Class	Pre-test	Post-test	Gain score
Experimental	48.35	56.74	8.39
Control	41.02	47.6	6.58

C. Discussion

The researcher discussed the result of this research. Based on the result of the data analysis, the researcher got the mean score of experimental class in pre-test was48.35 and in post-test was 56.74then the mean score of control class in pre-test was 41.01 and in post-test was 47.6. So, based on its comparing can be concluded that the improvement of experimental class was higher than control class. Automatically, collaborative writing strategy had effect on writing recount text.

It also discussed with the theory and compared with the relatedfinding that has been stated by researcher. Related to the theory fromthefirst is AuliaRizky that she concludes analysing the data, it was conclude that there is a significant effect of teaching writing by using collaborative writing strategy on students' writing achievement. Teaching writing by using collaborative writing strategy gives better result than using conventional method.

The result showed that the value of t-observed is higher than the value of t-table. $(17.02 > 1.667 \ (\alpha = 0.05))$ with the degree of freedom (df)

= 78. Based on the data analysis of the study, the result indicates that the alternative hypothesis (Ha) was accepted. It means that there is the significant effect of using Collaborative writing strategy on student achievement in writing recount text.¹

The second is IrnaWahyuni. Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that: (1) the studentswho are taught by using Collaborative Writing get better result in students 'writing of recount text than those who are taught by conventional teaching strategy. (2) Students with high reading habit who are taught by Collaborative Writing have better writing achievement of recount text than those who are taught by Conventional Teaching. (3) Students with low reading habit who are taught by collaborative writing get the same result in writing of recount text than those who are taught by using conventional technique.; and (4) There is no interaction between both techniques used and students' reading habits towardstudents' writing of recount text.²

The third is findings Yuliana. The result of analysis shows that there were significant effect of usingcollaborative writing technique on students writing ability and motivation At SMP N3 BulikTimur. The students who taught using collaborative writing technique got higher score in pre-test and post-test with mean (58) in writing test and (79) in writing ability . Moreover, after the data calculated using ANOVA one-way with 5

¹Rizky, "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students ' Achievement in Writing Recount Text in Mts Alwashliyah Tembung 2016/2017."

²Wahyuni, "The Effect Collaborative Writing and Reading Habits Toward the Students Writing of Recount Text at the Grade Eight of MTsN Kamang."
%(α =0.05) level og significant, it found that H_a was accepted and H_owas rejected.³

From the result of the research that is previously stated. It was proved that the students who were taughtwritingrecount text by using collaborative strategy got better result. As in this research, the mean score of experimental class got better result than control class (56.74>48.35). Looking the students' score after using collaborative writing strategyto motivation learning writing, this strategy is good to be used as an innovation for teaching writing. Although not all of the aspect in writing can be covered by this strategy. It can be considerably used in teaching writing. This, strategy makes the students happy and not feel bored when the teacher gave the material about writing. As a conclusion, this strategy is recommended to be used for teaching writing.

³Yuliana, "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Technique on Student's Writing Ability and Learning Motivation at SMP N 3 Bulik Timur."

D. The Threats of the Research

The researcher found the threats of the research as follows:

- The students needed more time for answering the test because the time was limited
- 2. There were some students that were noisy while teaching and learning process. Although they looked like not serious. So, it cans the concentration of the others.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion

Based on the result of the research, the conclusions of this research are:

- The students' writing recount text at the Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol before using collaborative writing strategy, the mean score of pre-test for experimental class was 47,6 and the mean score of pre-test for control class was 41.02.
- 2. The students' writing recount text at the Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol after using pictures media, the mean score of experimental class was higher than before using collaborative writing strategy. The mean score of post-test for the experimental class 56.74and the mean score of post-test for control class taught by conventional strategy was 48.35.
- 3. There was a significant effect of collaborative writing strategy on students writing recount text at the Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol. The researcher found the research result of t-test where t₀ was higher than t_twas5.07 and t_twas 2.069 (3.32>2.069). It means that H_a was accepted, so there was a significant effect of collaborative writing on students' writing recount text at the Eleventh Grade students of MA PesantrenMardhatillahTanoponggol.

B. Suggestion

After finishing the research, the researcher got much information in English teaching learning. Therefore, from that experience, the researcher shows some things need to be proven. It makes the researcher give some suggestion, as follow:

- From the researcher result it is as the information for the headmaster to motivate the English teacher to teach as well as possible by maximizing the using of collaborative writing strategy in teaching, because this strategy can achieve the student's ability especially in teaching writing.
- From the research result it is also as the information to the English teacher to use collaborative writing strategy as a reference in teaching writing to make learning process more active.
- The researcher suggests to other researchers to use this strategy in solving another problems and find another factors that face by students in learning English process.

REFERENCES

John Langan, Exploring Writing Sentences and Paragraphs, New York: Mc Graw Hill, Second Edi (New York: McGraw- Hill, 2010), https://f.openpdfs.org/7jgkRlmBdMV.pdf#pdfjs.action=download.

Fitri Rayani Siregar and Rahmadiny Siregar, "Students' Motivation in Learning English," *PROJECT (Professional Journal of English Education)* 2, no. 4 (2019): 179, https://doi.org/10.22460/project.v2i4.p539-544.

- Elsi Damayanti, "Private Interview to the English Teacher of Pesantren Mardhatillah" (Tanoponggol: Pesantren Mardhatillah 2021)
- Dolores Graham, S. and Perin, *Effective Strategies to Improve English Teaching Writing of Adolescents in Midle and High School* (New York: Alliance for Excellent Education, 2007) https://doi.org/10.5220/0006447502170220.
- Ken Hyland, Second Language Writing, TESOL Quarterly, vol. 36 (New York: Cambridge University Press, New York, 2004), https://doi.org/10.2307/3588251.
- Ahmad Nizar Rangkuti. *Statistik Untuk Penelitian Pendidikan*. Medan: Kelompok Penerbit Perdana Mulya Sarana, 2015.
- Dale, Helen. Co-Authoring in the Classroom : Creating an Environmentfor Effective Collaboration, 1997.

F. Barkley, Elizabeth. Collaborative Writing Technique. Jossey-Bass, 2005.

Graham, S. and Perin, Dolores. Effective Strategies to Improve English Teaching Writing of Adolescents in Midle and High School. New York: Alliance for Excellent Education, 2007. https://doi.org/10.5220/0006447502170220. Harmer, Jeremy. "How to Teach Writing." Malaysia: Longman, 2004.

- Hyland, Ken. Second Language Writing. TESOL Quarterly. Vol. 36. New York: Cambridge University Press, New York, 2004. https://doi.org/10.2307/3588251.
- Icy Lee. *Classroom Writing Assessment and Feedback in L2 School*. Singapore: Springer Nature, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.456.
- Jacobs, Holly L. et al. "Testing ESL Composition : A Practical Approach," no. January 1981 (1981): 139.
- Langan, John. *Exploring Writing Sentences and Paragraphs. New York: Mc Graw Hill.* Second Edi. New York: McGraw- Hill, 2010. https://f.openpdfs.org/7jgkRlmBdMV.pdf#pdfjs.action=download.
- Lina Marza, Muhd. Hafizh. "Teaching Writing Recount Text to Junior High-School Students by Using Facebook Peer-Comment." *Journal of English Language Teaching* 1, no. 2 (2013).
- Mahendra, Faradilla Fatich Narulita. "The Effect of Using Collaborative Writing Method on Students' Writing Recount Text at Grade Ten of SMK YP 1 HKBP Pematangsiantar." *Journal of Teaching and Education* 2, no. 1 (2020).
- Mulligan, Christopher, and Russell Garofalo. "A Collaborative Writing Approach: Methodology and Student Assessment." *The Language Teacher* 35, no. 3 (2011): 5. https://doi.org/10.37546/jalttlt35.3-1.
- Richards, Jack C., and Richard W. Schmidt. *Longman Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*. Third Edit. London, 2022.

https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315833835.

- Rizky, Ramadhani. Aulia. "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students ' Achievement in Writing Recount Text in Mts Alwashliyah Tembung 2016/2017," 2017.
- Sauders, W.M. "Collaborative Writing and Process Writing Approach: The Effect and Students Perception." *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)* 5, no. 2 (2020). https://doi.org/10.21070/jees.v5i2.773.
- Sugiyono. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif Dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2010.
- ———. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif Dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta, 2010.
- Sukirman. "Using Collaborative Writing in Teaching Writing." Using Collaborative Writing in Teaching Writing 2, no. 1 (2016).
- Wahyuni, Irna. "The Effect Collaborative Writing and Reading Habits Toward the Students Writing of Recount Text at the Grade Eight of MTsN Kamang." *Komposisi: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa, Sastra, Dan Seni* 15, no. 2 (2014). http://ejournal.unp.ac.id/index.php/komposisi.
- Yuliana, Y. "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Technique on Student's Writing Ability and Learning Motivation at SMP N 3 Bulik Timur," 2020. http://digilib.iain-palangkaraya.ac.id/id/eprint/3340.

Experimental Class

LESSON PLAN

(EXPERIMENTAL CLASS)

Sekolah	: Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol
Mata Pelajaran	: Bahasa Inggris
Kelas/Semester	: XI/Genap
Alokasi Waktu	: 2 x 45 Menit

A. Kompetensi Inti

KI 1 : Menghayati dan mengamalkan ajaran agama yang dianutnya.

KI 2 : Menghayati dan mengamalkan perilaku jujur, disiplin, tanggung jawab, peduli (gotongroyong, kerjasama, telorendamai), santun, responsive dan proaktif dan menunjukkan sikap sebagai bagian dari solusi atas berbagai permasalahan dalam berinteraksi secara efektif dengan lingkungan social dan alam serta dalam menempatkan diri sebagai cerminan bangsa dalam pergaulan dunia.

KI 3 : Memahami, menerapkan, menganalisis pengetahuan factual, konseptual, procedural berdasarkan rasa ingin tahunya tentang ilmu pengetahuan, technology, seni, budaya, dan humaniro dengan wawasan kemanusiaan, kebangsaan, kenegaraan, dan peradaban terkait penyebab fenomena dan kejadian serta menerapkan pengetahuan procedural pada bidang kajian yang spesifik sesuai dengan bakat dan minatnya untuk memecahkan masalah.

KI 4 : Mengolah, menalar, dan menyaji dalam ranah konkret dan ramah abstrak terkait dengan pengembangan dari yang dipelajarinya, di sekolah secara mandiri dan mampu menggunakan metode sesuai kaidah keilmuan.

B. Kompetensi Dasar dan Indicator Pencapaian Kompetensi

:

- Kompetensi dasar : Mampu menggambarkan fungsi dan tujuan dari gambar
- 2. Indikator
 - a. Siswa mampu menemukan informasi berdasarkan gambar
 - b. Siswa dapat menjawab pertanyaan berdasarkan gambar dengan teknk belajar yang diberikan oleh guru
 - c. Siswa mampu mengambarkan atau menceritakan sesuai gambar dan menggunakannya dalam kehidupan sehari- hari

C. Tujuan Pembelajaran

Setelah mengikuti proses pembelajaran, peserta didik diharapakan dapat:

- Siswa mampu memahami fungsi gambar dengan baik melalui teknik belajar yang diberikan guru.
- Siswa mampu menemukan informasi berdasarkan gambar untuk menyatakan pendapat
- Siswa mampu menangkap kata kunci, mengoreksi/menganlisa kesalahan serta mampu mengidentifikasi fungsi social, struktur, dan kebahasaan dari gambar

D. Materi Pembelajaran

1. Writing text berbentuk recount text

E. Metode Pembelajaran

1. Metode pembelajaran menggunakan Collaborative Writing Strategy

F. Media Pembelajaran

- 1. Media : Gambar
- 2. Alat/Bahan : Spidol dan Papan tulis

G. Sumber Belajar :

Buku cetak bahasa Inggris for SMA/MA/SMK/MAK kelas XI

H. Langkah-langkah Pembelajaran

Pertemuan Ke-1 (2x35)			
Tahapan	Deskripsi kegiatan guru dan siswa		
Pembelajaran			
Kegiatan	Kegiatan Guru		
Pendahuluan	1. Guru mengucapkan salam		
	2. Guru meminta ketua kelas untuk memimpin		
	do'a		
	3. Guru memeriksa kehadiran siswa		
	4. Guru memberi motivasi belajar siswa secara		
	konstekstual sesuai manfaat dan aplikasi		
	materi ajar dalam kehidupan sehari-hari		
	5. Guru mengajukan pertanyaan-pertanyaan		
	tentang materi yang sudah dipelajari dan		
	terkait dengan materi yang akan dipelajari		
	6. Guru menyampaikan garis besar cakupan		
	materi yang akan dipelajari		
	Siswa dengan khidmat dan santun		

	1. menjawab salam
	2. membaca do'a yang dipimpin oleh ketua
	kelas
	3. mendengarkan guru mengabsen
	4. Merespon pertanyaan guru tentang materi
	yang sudah dipelajari dengan serius
Kegiatan inti	1. Mengamati (observing)
	 a. Guru menanyakan kepada siswa tentang pemahamaham terhadap penulisan teks recount dengan menggunakan collaborative writing strategy b. Guru membagi siswa menjadi beberapa
	kelompok
	c. Siswa diminta untuk bertukar informasi
	tentang recount text
	d. Guru memberikan topik untuk setiap
	kelompok
	e. Siswa diminta untuk berbagi informasi
	tentang topik disetiap lelompok yang telah
	diberikan oleh guru
	f. Siswa diminta untuk menuliskan point
	penting dalam recount text
	2. Menanyakan (asking)
	a. Guru mempersilahkan siswa untuk
	menanyakan hal-hal yang tidak diketahui
	mengenai social function, generic structure,
	language features dari recount text
	b.
Penutup	1. Guru memberikan pertanyaan untuk
	mengetahui apakah siswa sudah memahai topic

2.	Siswa	dimin	ta n	nembuat	t kesimpulan
	pembe	lajaran pa	ida pert	emuan i	ini
3.	Guru	meminta	siswa	untuk	mengumpulkan
	hasil t	ulisan mer	reka		
4.	Guru 1	nenutup p	elajara	n denga	n salam
1					

I. Penilaian

- 1. Teknik penilaian : Tes Tertulis
- 2. Bentuk instrument : Tes Kemampuan Menulis

Category	Score	Level	Criteria
Content	30-27	Excellent to very good	Knowledge
			Substantive
			Through development of thesis
			Relevant to assigned topic
	26-22	Good to average	Some knowledge of subject
			Adequate range
			Limited development of thesis
			Mostly relevant to topic but
			lucks detail
	21-17	Fair to poor	Limited knowledge of subject
			Little substance
			Inadequate development of
			topic
	16-13	Very poor	Does not communicate
			No organization
			Not enough to evaluate
Organization	20-18	Excellent to very good	Fluent expression
			Ideas clearly stated/supported
			Well-organized
			Logical sequencing
			Cohesive
	17-14	Good to average	Somewhat choppy
			Loosely organized but main
			ideas stand out
			Limited support
			Logical sequencing and
			development
	13-10	Fair to poor	Non-fluent
			I Ideas confused or disconnected

			Lacks logical sequencing and development
	9-7	Very poor	Does not communicate No organization Or not enough to evaluate
Language use	25-22	Excellent to very good	Effective complex construction Few errors of agreement, tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition
	21-18	Good to average	Effective but simple construction Minor problems in complex construction Several errors of agreement tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition but meaning seldom obscured
	17-11	Fair to poor	Major problem in simple complex construction Frequent errors of negation tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, prepositions and or fragments, run-ons, deletion Meaning confused or obscured
	10-5	Very poor	Virtually no mastery or sentence construction rules Dominated by errors Does not communicate or not enough to evaluate
Vocabulary	20-18	Excellent to very good	Sophisticated range Effective word/idiom choice and usage Word from mastery appropriate register
	17-14	Good to average	Adequate range Occasional errors word/idiom choice and usage but meaning confused or obscured
	13-10	Fair to poor	Limited range Frequent errors of errors word/idiom, choice, and usage

			but meaning confused or obscured
	9-7	Very poor	Essentially translation Little knowledge of English vocabulary, idioms, word from or not enough to evaluate
Mechanics	5	Excellent to very good	Demonstrates mastery of conventions Few errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing
	4	Good to average	Occasional errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing but meaning not obscured
	3	Fair to poor	Frequent errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing Poor handwriting Meaning confused or obscured
	2	Very poor	No mastery of conventions Dominated by errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, hand writing illegible or not enough to evaluate

Tanoponggol, Maret 2022 Mengetahui Validator

Peneliti

Elsi Dayanti, S. Pd.

Anita Adha Hasibuan NIM. 17203000059

Control Class

LESSON PLAN

(CONTROL CLASS)

Sekolah : Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol

Mata Pelajaran : Bahasa Inggris

Kelas/Semester : XI/Genap

Alokasi Waktu : 2 x 45 Menit

A. Kompetensi Inti

KI 1 : Menghayati dan mengamalkan ajaran agama yang dianutnya.

KI 2 : Menghayati dan mengamalkan perilaku jujur, disiplin, tanggung jawab, peduli (gotongroyong, kerjasama, telorendamai), santun, responsive dan proaktif dan menunjukkan sikap sebagai bagian dari solusi atas berbagai permasalahan dalam berinteraksi secara efektif dengan lingkungan social dan alam serta dalam menempatkan diri sebagai cerminan bangsa dalam pergaulan dunia.

KI 3 : Memahami, menerapkan, menganalisis pengetahuan factual, konseptual, procedural berdasarkan rasa ingin tahunya tentang ilmu pengetahuan, technology, seni, budaya, dan humaniro dengan wawasan kemanusiaan, kebangsaan, kenegaraan, dan peradaban terkait penyebab fenomena dan kejadian serta menerapkan pengetahuan procedural pada bidang kajian yang spesifik sesuai dengan bakat dan minatnya untuk memecahkan masalah. KI 4 : Mengolah, menalar, dan menyaji dalam ranah konkret dan ramah abstrak terkait dengan pengembangan dari yang dipelajarinya, di sekolah secara mandiri dan mampu menggunakan metode sesuai kaidah keilmuan.

B. Kompetensi Dasar dan Indicator Pencapaian Kompetensi

- Kompetensi dasar : Mampu memahami text yang dipelajari dengan benar
- 2. Indikator
 - a. Mampu menuliskan teks recount secara jelas

:

- b. Siswa mampu mengurutkan susunan teks recount (orientation, events, reorientation) secara benar.
- c. Siswa mampu menuliskan teks dengan penggnaan kosa kata (vocabulary) yang tepat secara jelas.

C. Tujuan Pembelajaran

Setelah mengikuti proses pembelajaran, peserta didik diharapakan dapat:

- 1. Siswa mampu memahami teks recount dengan baik
- 2. Siswa mampu menuliskan teks recount sesuai susunan teks recount secara jelas dan benar.

D. Materi Pembelajaran

1. Teks monolog berbentuk recount text

E. Metode Pembelajaran

1. Metode pembelajaran menggunakan teacher strategy

F. Media Pembelajaran

1. Media : worksheet atau lembar kerja siswa dan buku paket

2. Alat/Bahan : Spidol dan Papan tulis

G. Sumber Belajar : Buku yang berkaitan

H. Langkah-Langkah Pembelajaran

Pertemuan Ke-	1 (2x45			
Tahapan	Deskripsi kegiatan guru dan siswa			
Pembelajaran				
Kegiatan	Kegia	tan Guru		
Pendahuluan	1.	Guru mengucapkan salam		
	2.	Guru meminta ketua kelas untuk memimpin do'a		
	3.	Guru memeriksa kehadiran siswa		
	4.	Guru memberi motivasi belajar siswa secara		
		konstekstual sesuai manfaat dan aplikasi materi ajae		
		dalam kehidupan sehari-hari		
	5.	Guru mengajukan pertanyaan-pertanyaan tentang		
		materi yang sudah dipelajari dan terkait dengan		
		materi yang akan dipelajari		
	6.	Guru menyampaikan garis besar cakupan materi		
		yang akan dipelajari		
	Siswa	dengan khidmat dan santun		
	1.	menjawab salam		
	2.	membaca do'a yang dipimpin oleh ketua kelas		
	3.	mendengarkan guru mengabsen		
	4.	Merespon pertanyaan guru tentang materi yang sudah		
		dipelajari dengan serius		
Kegiatan inti	1.	Mengamati (observing) a. Guru menjelaskan tentang recount text b. Guru menugaskan siswa menulis teks tentang recount		
	2.	Menanyakan (asking) a. Guru mempersilahkan siswa untuk menanyakan hal-hal yang tidak diketahui mengenai social		

	 function, generic structure, language features dari recount text 3. Menalar (exploring) a. Guru memberikan topic tentang recount text b. Guru memberikan waktu kepada siswa untuk menuliskan ide sebanyak mungkin dari topic yang telah ditentukan oleh guru
Penutup	1. Guru memberikan pertanyaan untuk mengetahui apakah siswa sudah memahai topic
	2. Siswa diminta membuat kesimpulan pembelajaran pada pertemuan ini
	3. Guru meminta siswa untuk mengumpulkan hasil
	tulisan mereka
	4. Guru menutup pelajaran dengan salam

J. Penilaian

- 1. Teknik penilaian : Tes Tertulis
- 2. Bentuk instrument : Tes Kemampuan Menulis

Category	Score	Level	Criteria
Content	30-27	Excellent to very good	Knowledge
			Substantive
			Through development of thesis
			Relevant to assigned topic
	26-22	Good to average	Some knowledge of subject
			Adequate range
			Limited development of thesis
			Mostly relevant to topic but lucks
			detail
	21-17	Fair to poor	Limited knowledge of subject
			Little substance
			Inadequate development of topic
	16-13	Very poor	Does not communicate
			No organization
			Not enough to evaluate
Organization	20-18	Excellent to very good	Fluent expression
			Ideas clearly stated/supported
			Well-organized
			Logical sequencing
			Cohesive

	17-14	Good to average	Somewhat choppy Loosely organized but main ideas stand out Limited support
			Logical sequencing and development
	13-10	Fair to poor	Non-fluent Ideas confused or disconnected Lacks logical sequencing and development
	9-7	Very poor	Does not communicate No organization Or not enough to evaluate
Language use	25-22	Excellent to very good	Effective complex construction Few errors of agreement, tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition
	21-18	Good to average	Effective but simple construction Minor problems in complex construction Several errors of agreement tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition but meaning seldom obscured
	17-11	Fair to poor	Major problem in simple complex construction Frequent errors of negation tense, number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, prepositions and or fragments, run-ons, deletion Meaning confused or obscured
	10-5	Very poor	Virtually no mastery or sentence construction rules Dominated by errors Does not communicate or not enough to evaluate
Vocabulary	20-18	Excellent to very good	Sophisticated range Effective word/idiom choice and usage Word from mastery appropriate register
	17-14	Good to average	Adequate range Occasional errors word/idiom choice and usage but meaning confused or obscured

	13-10	Fair to poor	Limited range Frequent errors of errors word/idiom, choice, and usage but meaning confused or obscured
	9-7	Very poor	Essentially translation Little knowledge of English vocabulary, idioms, word from or not enough to evaluate
Mechanics	5	Excellent to very good	Demonstrates mastery of conventions Few errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing
	4	Good to average	Occasional errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing but meaning not obscured
	3	Fair to poor	Frequent errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing Poor handwriting Meaning confused or obscured
	2	Very poor	No mastery of conventions Dominated by errors of spelling punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, hand writing illegible or not enough to evaluate

Tanoponggol, Maret 2022 Mengetahui, Validator

Peneliti

Elsi Dayanti, S. Pd.

Anita Adha Hasibuan NIM. 17203000059

Instrument of Writing Test for Experimental Class

WRITING TEST

PRE-TEST

Read these instructions before doing the test!

- 1. Write your name and your class on the provided answer sheet
- 2. Choose one of the topic below:
 - a. Happy experiences
 - b. Sad/bad experiences
 - c. Funny experiences
- 3. Please write a simple recount text based on the topic that you have chosen
- 4. The text should consist of orientation, events, and reorientation.

Mengetahui, Validator

Elsi Damayanti, S.Pd.

Instrument of Writing Test for Control Class

WRITING TEST

POST-TEST

Read these instructions before doing the test!

- 1. Write your name and your class on the provided answer sheet
- 2. Choose one of the topic below:
 - a. Happy experiences
 - b. Sad/bad experiences
 - c. Funny experiences
- 3. Please write a simple recount text based on the topic that you have chosen
- 4. The text should consist of orientation, events, and reorientation.

Mengetahui,

Validator

Elsi Damayanti, S.Pd.

From of Construct Validity for Writing Matery Test

Mata Pelajaran	: Bahasa Ingris
Kelas	: XI
Penelaah	: Elsi Dayanti, S.Pd.

- A. Petunjuk pengisian format pengisian butir soal
 - 1. Analisislah instrument soal berdasarkan semua kriteria yang tertera di dalam format!
 - 2. Berilah tanda cek ($\sqrt{}$) pada kolom "ya" apabila soal yang ditelaah sudah sesuai dengn criteria
 - 3. Berilah tanda cek (X) pada kolom "tidak" apabila soal yang ditelaah tidak sesuai dengan criteria
 - 4. Kemudian tuliskan catatan pada ruang cattaan atau pada teks soal dan perbaikannya.

No	Aspek	Ya	Tidak	Catatan
1.	Apakah Instrument sudah	\checkmark		sudah sesuai dengan
	sesuai dengan kompetensi			kompetensi dasar dan
	dasar dan indikator untuk			indikator untuk siswa
	siswa kelas XI?			
2.	Apakah isi materi dan topic	\checkmark		sudah sesuai dengan topic
	sesuai dengan jenjang			
	sekolah atau tingkat kelas?			
3.	Apakah instruksi dapat	\checkmark		instruksi dapat dipahami
	dipahami?			
4.	Apakah instruksi sudah			Instruksi sesuai dengan
	sesuai dengan aspek yang			aspek yang diukur
	diukur?			

Mengetahui,

Validator

Elsi Dayanti, S.Pd.

LEMBAR VALIDASI SOAL

Satuan Pendidikan	: Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol
Mata Pelajaran	: Bahasa Ingris
Kelas/Semester	: XI/Genap
Pokok Bahasan	: Writing
Nama Validator	: Elsi Dayanti S.Pd
Pekerjaan	: Guru Bahasa Ingris

- A. Petunjuk
 - 1. Berdasarkan pendapat Bapak/Ibu berilah nilai pada kolom yang telah disediakan dengan ketentuan:
 - 1. = Tidak Baik
 - 2. = Kurang Baik
 - 3. = Baik
 - 4. = Sangat Baik
 - 2. Jika terdapat komentar, maka tulislah pada lemabr saran yang telah disediakan
 - 3. Isilah kolom validsi berikut ini:

No		Aspek yang Dinilai	Nil	ai yang	Diberi	kan
1		Format Soal	1	2	3	4
	1.	Kejelasan pembagian materi				
	2.	Kemenarikan				
2		Isi Soal Tes				
	1.	Isi sesuai dengan kurikulum dan				
		RPP			\checkmark	
	2.	Kebenaran konsep/ pemateri			\checkmark	
	3.	Kesesuaian urutan materi				
3		Bahasa dan Penilaian				
	1.	Soal dirumuskan dengan bahasa				
		yang sederhan dan tidak				
		menimbulkan penafsiran ganda				
	2.	Menggunakan istilah-istilah yang				
		mudah dipahami				
	3.	Dirumuskan dengan mengikuti				
		kaidah bahasa Indonesia yang baku			\checkmark	

B. Penilaian Secara Umum Berilah Tanda (X)

Format Lembar Soal Siswa Ini:

- a. Sangat baik
- b. Baik
- c. Kurang Baik
- d. Tidak Baik
- C. Saran-Saran dan komentar

Soal baik dan dapat digunakan sebagaimana mestinya

> Tanoponggol, Maret 2022 Validator

Elsi Dayanti S. Pd

SURAT VALIDASI

Menerangkan bahwa saya yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini

Nama : Elsi Dayanti, S. Pd.

Pekerjaan : Guru Bahasa Ingris

Telah memberikan pengamatan dan masukan terhadap Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran (RPP) untuk kelengkapan penelitian yang berjudul:

"The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade Students of MA of Pesantren Mrdhatillah

Tanoponggol"

Yang disusun oleh:

Nama : Anita Adha Hasibuan

Nim : 17 203 00059

Fakultas : Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan

Jurusan : Tadris Bahasa Ingris

Adapun masukan yang telah saya berikan adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Menggunakan RPP sesuai dengan yang telah dibuat

2. Dapat menggunakan strategy yang berbeda

Dengan harapan, masukan dan penilaian yang diberikan dapat digunakan untuk menyempurnakan dalam memperoleh kualitas instrument tes yang baik.

Tanoponggol, Maret 2022

Elsi Dayanti, S. Pd.

Score of Experimental Class and Control Class in Pre-Test

No	Initial Name	Score
1	MHH	58
2	SASM	47
3	ASS	51
4	RIID	34
5	AP	59
6	TY	50
7	AAS	61
8	DNA	45
9	AR	44
10	RRI	65
11	WWH	41
12	WHR	37
13	SAT	31
	Total	579

a. Score of Experimental Class in Pre-Test

b. Score of Control Class Pre-Test

No	Initial Name	Score
1	MRL	36
2	MIA	40
3	HNO	53
4	SWR	44
5	BKD	49
6	RHH	41
7	MAP	50
8	MKM	55
9	SK	51
10	TSR	44
11	RM	44
12	HH	40
	Total	547

RESULT OF NORMALITY TEST IN PRE-TEST

A. Result of the Normality Test of PI-1 Grade in Pre-Test

1. The Score of PI-1 Grade Class In Pre-Test From Low Score to High

Score

31	34	37	41	44
45	47	50	51	58
59	61	65		

2. Range (R) = High Score - Low Score

= 65- 31

= 34

3. Total of Classes (K) = $1 + 3.3 \log(n)$

$$= 1 + 3.3 \log (13)$$
$$= 1 + 3.3 (1.11)$$
$$= 1 + 3.66$$
$$= 4.653$$
$$= 5$$

- 4. Length of Classes (P) = $\frac{range}{total of classes} = \frac{34}{5} = 6.8 = 7$
- 5. Mean

Interval Class	F	Х	Х	fx	x ' ²	fx' ²
31-37	3	34	+2	6	4	12
38-44	2	41	+1	3	1	2
45-51	4	48	0	0	0	0
52-58	1	55	-1	-1	1	1
59-65	3	62	-2	-6	4	12
i = 5	13			1		27

$$Mx = M^{1} + i \frac{\sum fx1}{N}$$

$$= 48 + 5 \frac{1}{13}$$

$$= 48 + 5 (0.07)$$

$$= 48 + 0.35$$

$$= 48,35$$

$$SD_{t} = i \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx1^{2}}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum fx1}{n}\right)^{2}}$$

$$= 5 \sqrt{\frac{27}{13} - \left(\frac{1}{13}\right)^{2}}$$

$$= 5 \sqrt{1.92}$$

$$= 5 x 1.38$$

$$= 6.9$$

Table of Normality Data Test With Chi-Quadrat Formula

Interval	Real Upper	Z -	Limit of	Large	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	fo	$\left(\frac{f_o - f_h}{f_o - f_h}\right)$
of	Limit	Score	Large of the	of Area			(f_h)
Score			Area				

59-65	65.5	2.48	0.4934				
				0.06	0.78	3	0.23
52-58	58.5	1.47	0.4292				
				0.25	3.25	2	0.15
45-51	51.5	0.45	0.1736				
				-0.11	1.43	4	2.72
38-44	44.5	-0.55	0.29116				
				0.23	2.99	1	0.07
31-37	37.5	-1.57	0.05821				
				0.05	0.65	3	0.23
	30.5	-2.58	0.00480				
<u> </u>		1		1		X^2	3.4

Based on the table above, the researcher found that $x^2_{count} = 3.4$ while $x^2_{table} = 9.488$ cause $x^2_{count} < x^2_{table} 3.4 < 11.07$) with degree of freedom (dk)= 6-1 = 5 and significant level α =5% so distribution of PI-1 class (pre-test) is normal.

No.	Interval	F	Fk
1	31-37	3	3
2	38-44	2	5
3	45-51	4	9
4	52-58	1	10
5	59-65	3	13

6. Median

Position of Me in Interval of classes is number 3, that

Bb = 44.5 F = 4 Fm = 5 i = 5 N = 13 1/2n = 6.5So,

Me = Bb + i
$$\left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}-F}{fm}\right)$$

= 44.5 + 5 $\left(\frac{\frac{6.5}{2}-4}{5}\right)$
= 44.5 + 5 (-0.37)
= 44.5 + 1.85
= 46.35

Interval No. F Fk 1 31-37 3 3 38-44 2 2 5 3 45-51 9 4 4 52-58 1 10 5 59-65 3 13

$$M_{0} = L + \frac{d1}{d1+d2}i$$

$$L = 44.5$$

$$d1 = 1$$

$$d2 = 2$$

$$i = 5$$
so:
$$M_{0} = 44.5 + \frac{1}{1+2}5$$

$$= 44.5 + 0.3 (5)$$

$$= 44.5 + 1.5$$

$$= 46$$

B. Result of the Normality PA-2 Pre-Test From High Score- to Low Score

1. The Score of PA-2 in Pre-Test From High Score- to Low Score

35	34	41	42
47	47	48	50
54	56	56	59

2. High
$$= 59$$

Low = 35

Range = High Score - Low Score

= 24

- 3. Total of Classes (K) = $1 + 3.3 \log(n)$
 - $= 1 + 3.3 \log (12)$ = 1 + 3.3 (1.07) = 1 + 3.35 = 4.35 = 4
- 4. Length of Classes (P) = $\frac{range}{total of classes} = \frac{24}{4} = 6$
- 5. Mean

Interval Class	F	Х	Х	fx	x ' ²	fx' ²
35-40	2	37.5	+1	2	1	2
41-47	4	44.5	0	0	0	0
48-53	3	50.5	-1	-3	1	3
54-59	3	56.5	-2	-6	4	12
	12			-7		17

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}^1 + \mathbf{i}\,\frac{\sum f x \mathbf{1}}{N}$$

$$= 44.5 + 6 \frac{-7}{12}$$

= 44.5 + 6 (-0.58)
= 44.5 + - 3.48
= 41.02
SD_t = $i \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx1^2}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum fx1}{n}\right)^2}$

$$=5\sqrt{\frac{17}{12} - \left(\frac{-7}{12}\right)^2}$$
$$= 5\sqrt{2.67}$$
$$= 6 \ge 1.63$$

= 9.78

Table of Normality Data Test With Chi-Quadrat Formula

Interval of	Real	Z - Score	Limit of	Large	f_h	fo	$\left(\frac{f_o - f_h}{f_o - f_h}\right)$
Score	Upper		Large of	of Area			(f_h)
	Limit		the Area				

54-59	59.5	1.88	0.4699				
				0.07	0.84	2	1.38
40-53	53.5	1.27	0.3980				
				0.15	1.8	4	1.22
41-47	47.5	0.66	0.2454				
				-0.04	-0.48	3	-5.25
35-40	40.5	-0.05	0.29116				
				0.03	0.36	3	7.33
	34.5	-0.66	0.25463				
	1	I	1	1	1	X ²	4.68

Based on the table above, the researcher found that $x^2_{count} = 4.68$ while $x^2_{table} = 11.070$ cause $x^2_{count} < x^2_{table}$ (4.68< 9.488) with degree of freedom (dk)= 5-1 = 4 and significant level α =5% so distribution of XI- 2 class (pre-test) is normal.

6. Median

Interval Class	F	Fk
35-40	2	2
41-47	4	6
48-53	3	9
54-59	3	12

Position of Me in Interval of classes is number 4.

i
$$= 2$$

N $= 12$
 $1/2n = 6$
So,

Me = Bb + i
$$\left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}-F}{fm}\right)$$

= 40.5 + 2 $\left(\frac{\frac{6}{2}-2}{4}\right)$
= 40.5 + 2 (0.25)
= 40.5 + 0.5
= 41

7. Modus

Interval Class	F	Fk
35-40	2	2
41-47	4	6
48-53	3	9
54-59	3	12

$$M_{0} = L + \frac{d1}{d1+d2}i$$

$$L = 40.5$$

$$d1 = 3$$

$$d2 = 2$$

$$i = 2$$
so:
$$M_{0} = 40.5 + \frac{3}{3+2}2$$

$$= 40.5 + 0.6 (2)$$

$$= 44.5 + 1.2$$

= 41.7

HOMOGENEITY TEST (PRE-TEST)

Calculation of parameter to get variant of the first class as experimental class sample by using direct method and variant of the second class as control class sample by using conventional method are used homogeneity test, which the formula:

$$S^{2} = \frac{n \sum xi^{2} - (\sum xi)}{n(n-1)}$$

Hypotheses:

- $H_0 : \delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2$
- $H_1 : \delta_1^2 \neq \delta_2^2$
 - A. Variant of the PI-1 class is:

No	Initial Name	Score
1	58	3364
2	47	2209
3	51	2601
4	34	1156
5	59	3481
6	50	2500
7	61	3721
8	45	2025
9	44	1936
10	65	4225
11	41	1681
12	37	1369
13	31	961
Σ	623	31229

- n = 13
- $\sum xi = 623$
- $\sum x i^2 = 31229$
So:

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\Sigma xi^{2} - (\Sigma xi)}{n(n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{13 (31229) - (623)^{2}}{13(13-1)}$$
$$= \frac{405977 - 388129}{13(12)}$$
$$= \frac{17848}{156}$$

$$= 11.43$$

B. Variant of the PA-2 class is:

No	Initial	Score
	Name	
1	35	1225
2	47	2209
3	50	2500
4	54	2916
5	41	1681
6	40	1600
7	59	3481
8	47	2209
9	42	1764
10	56	3136
11	48	2304
12	56	3135
Σ	575	28160
	•	•

$$\sum xi = 575$$

$$\sum xi^2 = 28160$$

So:

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\Sigma xi^{2} - (\Sigma xi)}{n(n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{12 (28160) - (575)^{2}}{12(12-1)}$$
$$= \frac{337920 - 330625}{12(11)}$$

$$=\frac{7295}{132} = 59.30$$

The formula was used to test homogeneity was:

1. PI-1 and PA-2

F $= \frac{The \ biggest \ variant}{The \ smallest \ variant}$ $= \frac{59.30}{11.43}$ = 5.18

After doing the calculation, the researcher found that $F_{count} = 5.18$ with α 5% and dk = 13 and 12 from the distribution list F, researcher found that $F_{table} = 4.24$, cause $F_{count} < F_{table}$ (5.18< 4.24). So, there is no difference the variant between the PI-1 class PA-2 class. It means that the variant is homogenous

Score of Experimental Class and Control Class in Post-Test

No	Initial Name	Score
1	MHH	60
2	SASM	52
3	ASS	65
4	RIID	65
5	AP	64
6	TY	68
7	AAS	70
8	DNA	53
9	AR	69
10	RRI	63
11	WWH	52
12	WHR	59
13	SAT	54
	Total	793

a. Score of Experimental Class in Post-Test

b. Score of Control Class Post -Test

No	Initial Name	Score
1	MRL	36
2	MIA	40
3	HNO	53
4	SWR	41
5	BKD	49
6	RHH	41
7	MAP	50
8	MKM	55
9	SK	51
10	TSR	44
11	RM	44
12	HH	40
	Total	544

RESULT OF NORMALITY IN POST TEST

A. Result of Normality Test of PI-1 Post-Test

1. The Score of PI-1 in Post-Test Low Score to High Score

52	52	53	54	59
60	63	64	65	65
68	69	70		

2. High =70

=52

Low Range

= High-Low

3. Total of Class (P) $= 1 + 3.3 \log(n)$

- $= 1 + 3.3 \log(13)$
- = 1 + 3.3 (1.11)
- = 1 + 3.663
- = 4. 663
- = 5

$\frac{range}{total of class} = \frac{18}{5}$	= 4
	$\frac{range}{total of class} = \frac{18}{5}$

5. Mean

Interval	F	Х	Х	Fx	x ²	Fx ²
52-55	4	53.5	0	0	0	0
56-59	1	57.5	-1	-1	1	1
60-63	2	61.5	-2	-4	4	8
64-67	3	65.5	-3	-6	9	18
68-70	3	69.5	-4	-12	16	48
i=4	13			-23		75

$$Mx = M^{1} + i \frac{\sum fx^{1}}{N}$$

= 53.5 + 5 $\left(\frac{-23}{13}\right)$
= 53.5 + 5(-1.76)
= 53.5 + (3.24)
= 56.74

$$SD_{t} = i \sqrt{\frac{\sum f x 1^{2}}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum f x 1}{n}\right)^{2}}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{\frac{75}{13} - \left(\frac{-23}{13}\right)^{2}}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{5.76 - (2.34)^{2}}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{3.47}$$
$$= 5 \times 1.86$$
$$= 9.3$$

Table of Normality Data Test with Chi-Quadrat formula

Interval	Real	Z -	Limit of	Large of	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	fo	$(f_o - f_h)$
of Score	Upper	Score	Large of the	Area			$\left(\frac{f_h}{f_h} \right)$
	Limit		Area				511
68-70	70.5	1.47	0.4292				
				0.05	0.65	4	2.4
64-67	67.5	1.15	0.3749				
				0.11	1.43	1	0.5
60-63	63.5	0.72	0.2642				
				0.15	1.95	2	1
56-59	59.5	0.29	0.1141				
				0.37	4.81	3	1
52-55	55.5	-0.13	0.48803				
				0.20	2.6	3	3
	51.5	-0.56	0.28774				



Based on the table above, the researcher found that $x^2_{count} = 7.9$ while $x^2_{table} = 9.488$ cause $x^2_{count} > x^2_{table}$ 7.9<9.488) with degree of freedom (dk)= 5-1 = 4 and significant level α =5% so distribution of PI-1 class (post-test) is normal.

Interval	F	Fk
52-55	4	4
56-59	1	5
60-63	2	7
64-67	3	10
68-70	3	13

6. Median

Position of Me in Interval of classes is number 4, that

Bb	= 55.5
F	= 5
Fm	= 4
i	= 5
n	= 13
1/2n	= 6.5
So,	
Me	$= Bb + i\left(\frac{\frac{n}{2}-F}{fm}\right)$
= 55.5	$+5\left(\frac{\frac{6.5}{2}-5}{4}\right)$
= 55.5	+ 5 (-1.75)
= 55.5	+ (-8.75)
= 46.7	

7. Modus

Interval	F	Fk
52-55	4	4
56-59	1	5
60-63	2	7
64-67	3	10
68-70	3	13

$$M_0 = L + \frac{d1}{d1+d2}i$$

$$L = 55.5$$

$$d1 = 4$$

$$d2 = 1$$

$$i = 5$$

 $M_0 = 55.5 + \frac{4}{4+1}5$ = 55.5 + 0.8 (5) = 55.5 + 4 = 59.5

2. High

B. Result of Normality Test of PA-2 in Post-Test

1. The score of PA-2 in Post-test from low score to high score

36	40	40	41
41	44	44	49
50	51	53	55

= 55

Low

= 36 = High – Low

= 55 - 36 = 19

Range

3. Total of classes $= 1 + 3.3 \log (n)$ = 1 + 3.3 log (12)

$$= 1 + 3.3 (1.07)$$
$$= 1 + 3.35$$
$$= 4.35$$
$$= 4$$

4. Length of classes
$$=\frac{range}{total of class} = \frac{19}{12} = 5$$

5. Mean

Interval	F	Х	X	Fx	x^2	Fx ²
36-40	3	38	+1	3	1	3
41-45	4	43	0	0	0	0
46-50	2	48	-1	-2	1	2
51-55	3	53	-2	-6	4	12
<i>i=</i> 4	12			-5		17

$$Mx = M^{1} + 5 \frac{\sum fx^{1}}{N}$$

= 43 + 5 $\left(\frac{(-5)}{12}\right)$
= 43 + 5 (-0.4)
= 43 + 4.6
= 47.6

$$SD_{t} = i \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma f x 1^{2}}{n} - \left(\frac{\Sigma f x 1}{n}\right)^{2}}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{\frac{17}{12} - \left(\frac{-5}{12}\right)^{2}}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{1.4 - 0.16}$$
$$= 5 \sqrt{1.24}$$
$$= 5x \ 1.11$$
$$= 5.55$$

Interval	Real	Z -	Limit of	Large of	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	fo	$(f_o - f_h)$
of Score	Upper	Score	Large of the	Area			$\left(\frac{f_h}{f_h} \right)$
	Limit		Area				
51-55	55.5	3.00	0.4987				
				0.0041	0.24	3	2
46-50	50.5	2.25	0.4946				
				0.06	0.72	4	4.5
41-45	45.5	1.50	0.4332				
				0.15	1.8	2	1
36-40	40.5	0.75	0.2734				
				0.24	2.88	3	1.6
	35.5	0	0				
		<u> </u>				X^2	9.1

Table of Normality Data Test with Chi-Quadrat formula

Based on the table above, the researcher found that $x^2_{count} = 9.1$ while $x^2_{table} = 9.488$ cause $x^2_{count} > x^2_{table}$ 9.1<9.488) with degree of freedom (dk)= 5-1 = 4 and significant level α =5% so distribution of PA-1 class (post-test) is normal.

6. Median

No.	Interval	F	Fk
1	36-40	3	3
2	41-45	4	7
3	46-50	2	9
4	51-55	3	12

Position of Me in Interval of classes is number 5, that

= 40.5 Bb F = 4 Fm = 5 i = 5 = 12 Ν 1/2n = 0.41So, Me = Bb + i $\binom{\frac{n}{2}-F}{fm}$ $=40.5+5\left(\frac{0.41-4}{5}\right)$ =40.5+5(-0.39)=40.5 + (-1.95)= 33.55 7. Modus

No.	Interval	F	Fk
1	36-40	3	3
2	41-45	5	7
3	46-50	2	9
4	51-55	3	12

$$\mathbf{M}_0 \qquad = \mathbf{L} + \frac{d\mathbf{1}}{d\mathbf{1} + d\mathbf{2}} i$$

L =
$$40.5$$

d1 = 2

d2 = 3

i = 5
so:
$$M_0 = 40.5 + \frac{2}{2+3}5$$

= 40.5 + 0.4(5)
= 40.5 + (2)
= 42.2

HOMOGENETY TEST (POST-TEST)

Calculation of parameter to get variant of the first class as experimental class sample by using direct method and variant of the second class as control class sample by using conventional method are used homogeneity test, which the formula:

$$\mathbf{S}^{2} = \frac{n \sum xi^{2} - (\sum xi)}{n(n-1)}$$

Hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \delta_1^2 = \delta_2^2$$

 $H_1 : \delta_1^2 \neq \delta_2^2$

A. Variant of PI-1 class is:

Initial Name	Xi	Xi2
MHH	60	3600
SASM	52	2704
ASS	65	4225
RIID	65	4225
AP	64	4096
TY	68	4624
AAS	70	4900
DNA	53	2809
AR	69	4761
RRI	63	3969
WWH	52	2704
WHR	59	3481
SAT	52	2704
Σ	793	49002

 $\sum xi = 793$

 $\sum xi^2 = 49002$

So:

= 52.03

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\Sigma xi^{2} - (\Sigma xi)}{n(n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{13 (49002) - (793)^{2}}{13(13-1)}$$
$$= \frac{637026 - 628849}{13(12)}$$
$$= \frac{8117}{156}$$

B. Variant of PA-2 class is:

Initial Name	Xi	Xi2
MRL	36	1296
MIA	40	1600
HNO	53	2809
SWR	41	1681
BKD	49	2401
RHH	41	1681
MAP	50	2500
MKM	55	3025
SK	51	2601
TSR	44	1936
RM	44	1936
HH	40	1600
Σ	544	25066
	•	

$$\sum xi = 544$$

 $\sum xi^2 = 25066$

So:

$$S^{2} = \frac{n\Sigma xi^{2} - (\Sigma xi)}{n(n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{12 (25066) - (544)^{2}}{12(12-1)}$$
$$= \frac{300792 - 295936}{12(11)}$$

$$=\frac{4856}{132}$$

= 36.78

The formula was used to test homogeneity was:

1. PI-1 and PA-2

F
$$= \frac{The \ biggest \ variant}{The \ smallest \ variant}$$
$$= \frac{52.03}{36.78}$$
$$= 1.41$$

After doing the calculation, the researcher found that $F_{count} =$ 1.41 with α 5% and dk = 13 and 12 from the distribution list F, researcher found that $F_{table} =$ 4.24, cause $F_{count} < F_{table}$ (1.41< 4.24). So, there is no difference the variant between the PI-1 class and PA-2 class. It means that the variant is homogenous.

T-TEST OF THE BOTH AVERAGES IN PRE-TEST

The formula was used to analyse hypothesis test of the both averages was t-test, that:

$$Tt = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}}{Tt = \frac{46.35 - 41}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(13 - 1)11.43 + (12 - 1)59.30}{13 + 12 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{12}\right)}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(12)11.43 + (11)59.30}{23}\right)(0.07 + 0.08)}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{46.35 - 41}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(12)11.43 + (11)59.30}{23}\right)(0.15)}}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{46.35 - 41}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(137.16+652.3)}{23}\right)(0.15)}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{46.35 - 41}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\left(\frac{789.46}{23}\right)(0.15)}}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{46.35 - 41}{\sqrt{34.324(0.15)}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{5.35}{\sqrt{5.1486}}$$
$$Tt = \frac{5.35}{2.26}$$
$$Tt = 2.36$$

Based on researcher calculation result of homogeneity test of the both averages, researcher found that t_{count} = 2.36 with opportunity $(1-\alpha) = 1-5\% = 95\%$ and dk = $n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 13 + 12 - 2 = 23$, t_{table} =2.069. So, t_{count} > t_{table} (5.07>2.069)and H_ais

accepted, it means no difference the average between the first class as experimental class and the second class as control class in this research.

APPENDIX 13

T-TEST OF THE BOTH AVERAGES IN POST-TEST

The formula was used to analyse hypothesis test of the both averages was t-test, that:

$$Tt = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}}{46.7 - 33.55}$$

$$Tt = \frac{46.7 - 33.55}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(13 - 1)52.03 + (12 - 1)36.78}{13 + 12 - 2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{12}\right)}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{(12)52.03 + (11)36.78}{23}\right)(0.07 + 0.08)}}$$

$$Tt = \frac{46.7 - 33.55}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{624.36 + 404.58}{23}\right)(0.15)}}}$$

$$Tt = \frac{46.7 - 33.55}{\sqrt{(44.736)(0.15)}}$$

$$Tt = \frac{13.15}{\sqrt{6.7104}}$$

$$Tt = \frac{13.15}{2.590}$$

Tt = 5.07

Based on researcher calculation result of homogeneity test of the both averages, researcher found that t_{count} = 2.36 with opportunity (1- α) = 1 – 5% = 95% and dk =

 $n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 13 + 12 - 2 = 23$, $t_{table} = 2.069$ So, $t_{count} > t_{table}(5.07 > 2.069)$ and H_a is accepted, it means there was the difference average between the first class as experimental class and the second class as control class in this research.

Dk		t level				
	50%	30%	20%	10%	5%	1%
1	0,455	1,074	1,642	2,706	3,841	6,635
2	1,386	2,408	3,219	4,605	5,991	9,210
3	2,366	3,665	4,642	6,251	7,815	11,341
4	3,357	4,878	5,989	7,779	9,488	13,277
5	4,351	6,064	7,289	9,236	11,070	15,086
6	5,348	7,231	8,558	10,645	12,592	16,812
7	6,346	8,383	9,803	12,017	14,067	18,475
8	7,344	9,524	11,030	13,362	15,507	20,090
9	8,343	10,656	12,242	14,684	16,919	21,666
10	9,342	11,781	13,442	15,987	18,307	23,209
11	10,341	12,899	14,631	17,275	19,675	24,725
12	11,340	14,011	15,812	18,549	21,026	26,217
13	12,340	15,119	16,985	19,812	22,362	27,688
14	13,339	16,222	18,151	21,064	23,685	29,141
15	14,339	17,222	19,311	22,307	24,996	30,578
16	15,338	18,418	20,465	23,542	26,296	32,000
17	16,338	19,511	21,615	24,769	27,587	33,409
18	17,338	20,601	22,760	25,989	28,869	34,805
19	18,338	21,689	23,900	27,204	30,144	36,191
20	19,337	22,775	25,038	28,412	31,410	37,566
21	20,337	23,858	26,171	29,615	32,671	38,932
22	21,337	24,939	27,301	30,813	33,924	40,289
23	22,337	26.018	28,429	32,007	35,172	41,638
24	23,337	27,096	29,553	33,196	35,415	42,980
25	24,337	28,172	30,675	34,382	37,652	44,314
26	25,336	29,246	31,795	35,563	38,885	45,642
27	26,336	30,319	32,912	36,741	40,113	46,963
28	27,336	31,391	34,027	37,916	41,337	48,278
29	28,336	32,461	35,139	39,087	42,557	49,588
30	29,336	33,530	36,250	40,256	43,773	50,892

Chi-Square Table

Р	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001
r	0.50	0.20	0.10	0.050	0.02	0.010	0.002
df							
1	1.0000	3.0776	6.3137	12.7062	31.8205	63.6567	318.3088
	0	8	5	0	2	4	4
2	0.8165	1.8856	2.9199	4.30265	6.96456	9.92484	22.32712
	0	2	9				
3	0.7648	1.6377	2.3533	3.18245	4.54070	5.84091	10.21453
	9	4	6				
4	0.7407	1.5332	2.1318	2.77645	3.74695	4.60409	7.17318
	0	1	5				
5	0.7266	1.4758	2.0150	2.57058	3.36493	4.03214	5.89343
	9	8	5				
6	0.7175	1.4397	1.9431	2.44691	3.14267	3.70743	5.20763
_	6	6	8	0.06460	2 00705	2 400 40	4 70 500
7	0.7111	1.4149	1.8945	2.36462	2.99795	3.49948	4.78529
0	4	2	8	0.0000	0.00040	2 25 5 20	4 50070
ð	0.7063	1.3968	1.8595	2.30600	2.89646	3.35539	4.50079
0	9	<u> </u>	J 1 9221	2.26216	2 92144	2 24094	4 20691
9	0.7027	1.3830	1.8331	2.26216	2.82144	3.24984	4.29681
10	2	5 1 2721	1 0124	2 2 2 2 1 4	2 76277	2 16027	4 14270
10	0.0998	1.3721 Q	1.0124	2.22014	2.70377	5.10927	4.14370
11	0.6074	0	1 7058	2 20000	2 71808	3 10581	4.02470
11	5	3	8	2.20099	2.71000	5.10501	4.02470
12	0 6954	1 3562	1 7822	2 17881	2 68100	3 05454	3 92963
14	8	2	9	2.17001	2.00100	5.05454	5.72705
13	0.6938	1.3501	1.7709	2.16037	2,65031	3.01228	3.85198
10	3	7	3	2.10007	2.000001	0.01220	5105170
14	0.6924	1.3450	1.7613	2.14479	2.62449	2.97684	3.78739
	2	3	1				
15	0.6912	1.3406	1.7530	2.13145	2.60248	2.94671	3.73283
	0	1	5				
16	0.6901	1.3367	1.7458	2.11991	2.58349	2.92078	3.68615
	3	6	8				
17	0.6892	1.3333	1.7396	2.10982	2.56693	2.89823	3.64577
	0	8	1				
18	0.6883	1.3303	1.7340	2.10092	2.55238	2.87844	3.61048
	6	9	6				
19	0.6876	1.3277	1.7291	2.09302	2.53948	2.86093	3.57940
	2	3	3				

Percentage Points of the t Distribution

20	0.6869	1.3253	1.7247	2.08596	2.52798	2.84534	3.55181
21) 0.6863	4	2	2.07061	2 51765	2 82126	3 52715
41	0.0803	9	4	2.07901	2.31703	2.83130	5.52715
22	0.6858	1.3212	1.7171	2.07387	2.50832	2.81876	3.50499
	1	4	4				
23	0.6853	1.3194	1.7138	2.06866	2.49987	2.80734	3.48496
24	1	6	7	2.0(200	2.40216	2 70 (04	2 46670
24	0.6848	1.3178	1./108	2.06390	2.49216	2.79694	3.400/8
25	0 6844	1 3163	0	2 05954	2 48511	2 78744	3 4 5 0 1 9
	3	5	4	2.03731	2.10511	2.70711	5.15017
26	0.6840	1.3149	1.7056	2.05553	2.47863	2.77871	3.43500
	4	7	2				
27	0.6836	1.3137	1.7032	2.05183	2.47266	2.77068	3.42103
	8	0	9	0.04044	0.4651.4	0.5/00/	2 4001 6
28	0.6833	1.3125	1.7011	2.04841	2.46/14	2.76326	3.40816
29	0 6830	5 1 3114	3 1 6991	2 04523	2 46202	2 75639	3 39624
	4	3	3	2.07323	2.40202	2.75057	5.57024
30	0.6827	1.3104	1.6972	2.04227	2.45726	2.75000	3.38518
	6	2	6				
31	0.6824	1.3094	1.6955	2.03951	2.45282	2.74404	3.37490
	9	6	2	0.00.000	0.440.60	0 700 40	0.06501
32	0.6822	1.3085	1.6938	2.03693	2.44868	2.73848	3.36531
33	5 0.6820	/	9	2 03/152	2 1/1/79	2 73328	3 35634
55	0.0820	4	1.0723	2.03432	2.4447)	2.13520	5.55054
34	0.6817	1.3069	1.6909	2.03224	2.44115	2.72839	3.34793
	7	5	2				
35	0.6815	1.3062	1.6895	2.03011	2.43772	2.72381	3.34005
	6	1	7				
36	0.6813	1.3055	1.6883	2.02809	2.43449	2.71948	3.33262
37	/	1 2049	0	2.02610	2 42145	2 71541	3 32563
51	IIIAXII	I I 311/1X				/ / / / /	
	0.6811	1.3048	1.0870	2.02019	2.43143	2./1341	5.52505
38	0.6811 8 0.6810	1.3048 5 1.3042	1.6870 9 1.6859	2.02019	2.43143	2.71341	3.31903
38	0.6811 8 0.6810 0	1.3048 5 1.3042 3	1.6870 9 1.6859 5	2.02019	2.43143	2.71341	3.31903
38 39	0.6811 8 0.6810 0 0.6808	1.3048 5 1.3042 3 1.3036	1.6870 9 1.6859 5 1.6848	2.02019 2.02439 2.02269	2.43143 2.42857 2.42584	2.71341 2.71156 2.70791	3.32303 3.31903 3.31279
38 39	0.6811 8 0.6810 0 0.6808 3 0.6808	1.3048 5 1.3042 3 1.3036 4	1.6870 9 1.6859 5 1.6848 8	2.02439 2.02269	2.43143 2.42857 2.42584	2.71341 2.71156 2.70791	3.31903 3.31279
38 39 40	0.6811 8 0.6810 0 0.6808 3 0.6806 7	1.3048 5 1.3042 3 1.3036 4 1.3030	1.6870 9 1.6859 5 1.6848 8 1.6838	2.02019 2.02439 2.02269 2.02108	2.43143 2.42857 2.42584 2.42326	2.71341 2.71156 2.70791 2.70446	3.32303 3.31903 3.31279 3.30688

Ζ	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
9	05	05	04	04	04	04	04	04	03	03
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
8	07	07	07	06	06	06	06	05	05	05
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
7	11	10	10	10	09	09	08	08	08	08
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
6	16	15	15	14	14	13	13	12	12	11
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	23	22	22	21	20	19	19	18	17	17
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
4	34	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	48	47	45	43	42	40	39	38	36	35

-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	69	66	64	62	60	58	56	54	52	50
-	07	00	04	02	00	50	50	54	52	50
-										
3.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1	07	0.4	00	07	0.4	02	70	76	74	71
1	97	94	90	07	64	82	19	70	/4	/1
-										
3	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
0	25	21	0.001	0.001	10	14	11	0.001	0.001	0.001
U	35	31	26	22	18	14	11	07	04	00
-										
2	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
2.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
9	87	81	75	69	64	59	54	49	44	39
-										
2	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
2.	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
8	56	48	40	33	26	19	12	05	99	93
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
7	47	36	26	17	07	98	89	80	72	64
	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.025	0.002
2.	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.036	0.003
6	66	53	40	27	15	02	91	79	80	57
-										
2.	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004
5	21	04	87	70	54	39	23	08	94	80

-										
2.	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
4	20	98	76	55	34	14	95	76	57	39
-										
2.	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.008	0.008
3	72	44	17	90	64	39	14	89	66	42
-										
2.	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
2	90	55	21	87	55	22	91	60	30	01
-										
2	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.014
1	0.017	0.017	0.017	50	10	70	20	0.015	0.014	0.014
1	86	43	00	59	18	78	39	00	63	26
-										
2.	0.022	0.022	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.019	0.018	0.018
0	75	22	69	18	68	18	70	23	76	31
-										
1.	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.024	0.023	0.023
9	72	07	43	80	19	59	00	42	85	30
-										
1.	0.035	0.035	0.034	0.033	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.030	0.030	0.029
8	93	15	38	62	88	16	44	74	05	38
	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.044	0.040	0.040	0.020	0.020	0.027	0.025
1.	0.044	0.043	0.042	0.041	0.040	0.040	0.039	0.038	0.037	0.036
7	57	63	72	82	93	06	20	36	54	73

-										
-										
1.	0.054	0.053	0.052	0.051	0.050	0.049	0.048	0.047	0.046	0.045
6	80	70	62	55	50	47	46	46	48	51
1	0.066	0.065	0.064	0.063	0.061	0.060	0.059	0.059	0.057	0.055
1.	0.000	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.001	0.000	38	0.038	0.037	0.055
5	81	52	26	01	78	57		21	05	92
-										
1.	0.080	0.079	0.077	0.076	0.074	0.073	0.072	0.070	0.069	0.068
4	76	27	80	36	93	53	15	78	44	11
-										
1.	0.096	0.095	0.093	0.091	0.090	0.088	0.086	0.085	0.083	0.082
3	80	10	42	76	12	51	91	34	79	26
-										
1.	0.115	0.113	0.111	0.109	0.107	0.105	0.103	0.102	0.100	0.098
2	07	14	23	35	49	65	83	04	27	53
-										
1.	0.135	0.133	0.131	0.129	0.127	0.125	0.123	0.121	0.119	0.117
1	67	50	36	24	14	07	02	00	00	02
	07	50	50	24	14	07	02	00	00	02
-										
1.	0.158	0.156	0.153	0.151	0.149	0.146	0.144	0.142	0.140	0.137
0	66	25	86	51	17	86	57	31	07	86
-										
0.	0.184	0.181	0.178	0.176	0.173	0.171	0.168	0.166	0.163	0.161
9	06	41	79	19	61	06	53	02	54	09

-										
0.	0.211	0.208	0.206	0.203	0.200	0.197	0.194	0.192	0.189	0.186
•	0	07	11	07	45		00	15	10	70
8	86	97	11	27	45	66	89	15	43	73
0.	0.241	0.238	0.235	0.232	0.229	0.226	0.223	0.220	0.217	0.214
7	96	85	76	70	65	63	63	65	70	76
-										
0.	0.274	0.270	0.267	0.264	0.261	0.257	0.254	0.251	0.248	0.245
6	25	93	63	35	09	85	63	43	25	10
-										
0.	0.308	0.305	0.301	0.298	0.294	0.291	0.287	0.284	0.280	0.277
5	54	03	53	06	60	16	74	34	06	60
5	54	05	55	00	00	10	/4	34	90	00
-										
0	0 344	0.340	0 337	0 333	0 320	0 326	0 322	0.310	0.315	0.312
0.	0.344	0.340	0.557	0.555	0.329	0.320	0.322	0.319	0.315	0.312
4	58	90	24	60	97	36	76	18	61	07
-										
0.	0.382	0.378	0.374	0.370	0.366	0.363	0.359	0.355	0.351	0.348
3	09	28	48	70	93	17	42	69	97	27
-										
0.	0.420	0.416	0.412	0.409	0.405	0.401	0.397	0.393	0.389	0.385
2	74	83	94	05	17	29	43	58	74	91
-										
0.	0.460	0.456	0.452	0.448	0.444	0.440	0.436	0.432	0.428	0.424
1	17	20	24	28	33	38	11	51	58	65
	1/	20	24	20	55	50	-+++	51	50	05
1		1					1	1	1	

- 0.	0.500	0.496	0.492	0.488	0.484	0.480	0.476	0.472	0.468	0.464
0	00	01	02	03	05	06	08	10	12	14

Z-Table

Z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	0.0000	0.0040	0.0080	0.0120	0.0160	0.0199	0.0239	0.0279	0.0319	0.0359
0.1	0.0398	0.0438	0.0478	0.0517	0.0557	0.0596	0.0636	0.0675	0.0714	0.0753
0.2	0.0793	0.0832	0.0871	0.0910	0.0948	0.0987	0.1026	0.1064	0.1103	0.1141
0.3	0.1179	0.1217	0.1255	0.1293	0.1331	0.1368	0.1406	0.1443	0.1480	0.1517
0.4	0.1554	0.1591	0.1628	0.1664	0.1700	0.1736	0.1772	0.1808	0.1844	0.1879
0.5	0.1915	0.1950	0.1985	0.2019	0.2054	0.2088	0.2123	0.2157	0.2190	0.2224
0.6	0.2257	0.2291	0.2324	0.2357	0.2389	0.2422	0.2454	0.2486	0.2517	0.2549
0.7	0.2580	0.2611	0.2642	0.2673	0.2704	0.2734	0.2764	0.2794	0.2823	0.2852
0.8	0.2881	0.2910	0.2939	0.2967	0.2995	0.3023	0.3051	0.3078	0.3106	0.3133
0.9	0.3159	0.3186	0.3212	0.3238	0.3264	0.3289	0.3315	0.3340	0.3365	0.3389
1.0	0.3413	0.3438	0.3461	0.3485	0.3508	0.3531	0.3554	0.3577	0.3599	0.3621
1.1	0.3643	0.3665	0.3686	0.3708	0.3729	0.3749	0.3770	0.3790	0.3810	0.3830
1.2	0.3849	0.3869	0.3888	0.3907	0.3925	0.3944	0.3962	0.3980	0.3997	0.4015
1.3	0.4032	0.4049	0.4066	0.4082	0.4099	0.4115	0.4131	0.4147	0.4162	0.4177
1.4	0.4192	0.4207	0.4222	0.4236	0.4251	0.4265	0.4279	0.4292	0.4306	0.4319
1.5	0.4332	0.4345	0.4357	0.4370	0.4382	0.4394	0.4406	0.4418	0.4429	0.4441
1.6	0.4452	0.4463	0.4474	0.4484	0.4495	0.4505	0.4515	0.4525	0.4535	0.4545
1.7	0.4554	0.4564	0.4573	0.4582	0.4591	0.4599	0.4608	0.4616	0.4625	0.4633
1.8	0.4641	0.4649	0.4656	0.4664	0.4671	0.4678	0.4686	0.4693	0.4699	0.4706
1.9	0.4713	0.4719	0.4726	0.4732	0.4738	0.4744	0.4750	0.4756	0.4761	0.4767
2.0	0.4772	0.4778	0.4783	0.4788	0.4793	0.4798	0.4803	0.4808	0.4812	0.4817
2.1	0.4821	0.4826	0.4830	0.4834	0.4838	0.4842	0.4846	0.4850	0.4854	0.4857
2.2	0.4861	0.4864	0.4868	0.4871	0.4875	0.4878	0.4881	0.4884	0.4887	0.4890
2.3	0.4893	0.4896	0.4898	0.4901	0.4904	0.4906	0.4909	0.4911	0.4913	0.4916
2.4	0.4918	0.4920	0.4922	0.4925	0.4927	0.4929	0.4931	0.4932	0.4934	0.4936
2.5	0.4938	0.4940	0.4941	0.4943	0.4945	0.4946	0.4948	0.4949	0.4951	0.4952
2.6	0.4953	0.4955	0.4956	0.4957	0.4959	0.4960	0.4961	0.4962	0.4963	0.4964

2.7	0.4965	0.4966	0.4967	0.4968	0.4969	0.4970	0.4971	0.4972	0.4973	0.4974
2.8	0.4974	0.4975	0.4976	0.4977	0.4977	0.4978	0.4979	0.4979	0.4980	0.4981
2.9	0.4981	0.4982	0.4982	0.4983	0.4984	0.4984	0.4985	0.4985	0.4986	0.4986
3.0	0.4987	0.4987	0.4987	0.4988	0.4988	0.4989	0.4989	0.4989	0.4990	0.4990
3,1	0,4990	0,4991	0,4991	0.4991	0,4992	0,4992	0,4992	0,4992	0,4993	0,4993
3,2	0,4993	0,4993	0,4994	0,4994	0,4994	0,4994	0,4994	0,4995	0,4995	0,4995
3,3	0,4995	0,4995	0,4995	0,4996	0,4996	0,4996	0,4996	0,4996	0,4997	0,4997
3,4	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4997	0,4998
3,5	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998	0,4998
3,6	0,4998	0,4998	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999
3,7	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999
3,8	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999	0,4999
3,9	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000	0,5000



Research Documentation











CURRICULUM VITAI



A.	Personal Identity				
	Name	: Anita Adha Hasibuan			
	No. reg	: 17 203 00059			
	Place/date of birth	: Simaninggir 06 April1999			
	e-mail/No hp	: <u>nitaj1ace@gmail.com/ 0822-4725-</u> 0626			
	Gender	: Female			
Address		: Lk II, Sitinjak, KecamatanAngkola Ba			
	Parent Identity				
	Father's Name	: Nikman Hasibuan			
	Occupations	: Farmer			
	Mother's Name	: NefySahrawaniHarahap			
	Occupations	: Farmer			
B.	Educational Background				
	Elementary School	: SD N 30000 Sitinjak(2011)			

- Elementary School Junior High School Senior High School
- : MTS YPKS Padangsidimpuan (2014)
- : SMA N 1 Angkola Barat (2017)



Nomor: B - 369 /In.14/E/TL.00/02/2022 Hal : Izin Penelitian Penyelesaian Skripsi

25 Februari 2022

Yth. Kepala MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol Kabupaten Tapanuli Selatan

Dengan hormat, bersama ini kami sampaikan bahwa :

Nama	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
NIM	: 1720300059
Program Studi	: Tadris/Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Fakultas : Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan

adalah Mahasiswa Fakultas Tarbiyah dan Ilmu Keguruan IAIN Padangsidimpuan yang sedang menyelesaikan Skripsi dengan Judul "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade Students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol".

Sehubungan dengan itu, kami mohon bantuan Bapak/Ibu untuk memberikan izin penelitian sesuai dengan maksud judul diatas.

Demikian disampaikan, atas kerja sama yang baik diucapkan terimakasih.

Deka ya'Hilda, M.Si. 9720920 200003 2 002



VAYASAN PENDIDIKAN MARDHATILLAH TANOPONGGOL PONPES MARDHATILLAH

Jl. Sibolga Km. 14,5 Lk.I Tanoponggol Kelurahan Sitinjak Kecamatan Angkola Barat - 22736 Kabupaten Tapanuli Selatan Provinsi Sumatera Utara HP: 081377375303

SURAT KETERANGAN

No: 012/001/PPS-MRDT/III/2022

Sehubungan dengan surat dari Fakultas Tarbiyah Dan Ilmu Keguruan IAIN Padangsidimpuan, Nomor : B-369/In.14/E/TL.00/02/2022, Hal : Izin Mengadakan Penelitian tertanggal 25 Februari 2022, maka Kepala Sekolah Mardhatillah dengan ini menerangkan nama Mahasiswa di bawah ini :

NAMA	: Anita Adha Hasibuan
NIM	: 1720300059
JURUSAN	: Tadris/Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris
FAKULTAS	: Tarbiyah Dan Ilmu Keguruan

Benar telah mengadakan penelitian di Yayasan Pendidikan Mardhatillah pada tanggal 26 Februari 2022 s/d 28 maret 2022 guna melengkapi data penyususan skripsi yang berjudul : "The Effect of Collaborative Writing Strategy on Students' Writing Recount Text at the Eleventh Grade Students of MA Pesantren Mardhatillah Tanoponggol".

Demikian Surat Keterangan Ini dibuat dengan sesungguhnya untuk dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Tanoponggol, 28 Maret 2022 Kepala Sekolah HARAHAP, S.Pd