

THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PRONOUNCIATION MASTERY AND SPEAKING ABILITY AT GRADE VIII MTs DARUL MUHSININ JANJI MANAHAN KAWAT

A THESIS

Submitted to the English State College for Islamic Studies (STAIN) Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Islamic Educatoion Scholar (S.Pd.I) English Program

BY :

HARIANA RITONGA Reg. No. 08 340 0016

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

TARBIYAH DEPARTEMENT STATE COLLEGE FOR ISLAMIC STUDIES (STAIN) PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2013



THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PRONOUNCIATION MASTERY AND SPEAKING ABILITY AT GRADE VIII MTs DARUL MUHSININ JANJI MANAHAN KAWAT

A THESIS

Submitted to the English State College for Islamic Studies (STAIN) Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Islamic Educatoion Scholar (S.Pd.I) English Program

BY :

HARIANA RITONGA Reg. No. 08 340 0016

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

TARBIYAH DEPARTEMENT STATE COLLEGE FOR ISLAMIC STUDEIS (STAIN) PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2013



THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PRONOUNCIATION MASTERY AND SPEAKING ABILITY AT GRADE VIII MTs DARUL MUHSININ JANJI MANAHAN KAWAT

A THESIS

Submitted to State Collage for Islamic Studies (STAIN) Padangsidimpuan as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Islamic Education Scholar (S.pd.I) English Program

BY:

HARIANA RITONGA Reg. No. 08 340 0016

Advisor I

Ryfluls'

Reyendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001

dvisor II

Hamka, S.#d., M.Hum NIP. 19840815 200912 1 005

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

TARBIYAH DEPARTEMENT STATE COLLEGE FOR ISLAMIC STUDIES PADANGSIDIMPUAN 2013 Term : A Thesis a.n. Hariana Ritonga Iterm : 5 (Five) exemplars Padangsidimpuan, 19 June 2013 To :

Bapak Ketua STAIN Padangsidimpuan

di _ Padangsidimpuan

Assalamu'alaikumWr. Wb.

After reading, studying and giving advice for necessary revise on thesis belong to *Hariana Ritonga* entitle "*The Correlation between pronounciation Mastery and Speaking Ability at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat*", we assume that the thesis has been acceptable to complete the assignments and fulfill the requirements for the degree of Sarjana Pendidikan Islam (S.Pd.I), in English Education Department, Tarbiyah and Education Faculty in STAIN Padangsidimpuan.

Therefore, we hope she could be to defend her thesis in Munaqasyah. That's all and thank you for the attention.

Wassalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

Advisor I

Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001

Advisor II

Hamka,M/Hum NIP. 19840815 200912 1005

SURAT PERNYATAAN MENYUSUN SKRIPSI SENDIRI

Saya yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Reg. No. 108 340 001	and Speaking Ability At Grade VIIIMTS Daarul Muhsinin i	
Judul Skripsi	: The Correlation Between Pronounciation Mastery	
Jurusan/ Program Studi	: Tarbiyah/ TBI-1	
NIM	: 08 340 0016	
Nama	: HARIANA RITONGA	

Janji Manahan Kawat.

Dengan ini menyatakan menyusun skripsi sendiri tanpa meminta bantuan tidak sah dari pihak lain, kecuali arahan tim pembimbing dan tidak melakukan plagiasi sesuai dengan Kode Etik Mahasiswa pasal 14 ayat 2.

Pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sesungguhnya dan apabila di kemudian hari terdapat penyimpangan dan ketidakbenaran pernyataan ini, maka saya bersedia menerima sanksi sebagaimana tercantum dalam pasal 19 ayat 4 tentang Kode Etik Mahasiswa yaitu pencabutan gelar akademik dengan tidak hormat dan sanksi lainnya sesuai dengan norma dan ketentuan yang berlaku.

Padangsidimpuan, 07 Juni 2013

ang menyatakan NDJP ONGA NTM. 08 340 0016



MINISTRY OF RELIGION STATE COLLAGE FOR ISLAMIC STUDIES PADANGSIDIMPUAN

EXAMINERS SCHOLAR MUNAQSYAH EXAMINATION

Name Reg. No Thesis

: HARIANA RITONGA : 08 340 0016

: THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PRONUNCIATION MASTERY AND SPEAKING ABILITY AT GRADE VIII MTS DAARUL MUHSININ JANJI MANAHAN KAWAT

Chief Dr. Erawadi, M.Ag

NIP. 19720326 199803 1 002

Secretary,

Ryflu Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001



di. M.Ag NIP. 19720326 199803 1 002

Zainuddin, S.S., M.Hum

NIF. 19760610 200801 1 016

Proposed:

: Padangsidimpuan. Place : June, 19th 2013. Date : 14.00 AM until finish Time Result/Mark : 65.70/C IPK : 2,87 : Good Predicate

Members,

tufful

Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag NIP. 19710510 200003 2 001

Eka Sustri Harida, M.Pd NIP. 19750917 200312 2 002



KEMENTERIAN AGAMA SEKOLAH TINGGI AGAMA ISLAM NEGERI PADANGSIDIMPUAN

Jalan Imam Bonjol Km. 4,5 Telp (0634) 22080, Fax (0634) 24022 Padangsidimpuan 22733

LEGALIZATION

The Thesis with Title: The Correlation Between Pronounciation Mastery And Speaking Ability At Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat.

Written by

: HARIANA RITONGA

Reg. No

: 08 340 0016

Had been accepted as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Islamic Educational Scholar (S.Pd.I) in English

Padangsidimpuan, 19th June 2013 det of State College HAbrahim Siregar, MCL NIP.19680704 200003 1 003

Name	: HARIANA RITONGA
Reg.Number	: 08 340 0016
Department	: TARBIYAH/ TADRIS BAHASA INGGRIS – 1
Title	: THE CORRELATION BETWEEN
	PRONOUNCIATION MASTERY AND
	SPEAKING ABILITY AT GRADE VIII MTs
	DAARUL MUHSININ JANJI MANAHAN.

ABSTRACT

This research was taken based on the fact of the students' problems inpronunciation mastery and speaking ability. The researcher identified many problems that there were many factors influence pronunciation mastery such as vocabulary, grammar, and speaking. Finally, the researcher interested to research the correlation between pronunciation mastery and speaking ability at MTs DaarulMuhsininJanji Manahan Kawat.In this research, the researcher wanted to find out about how significant the correlation pronunciation mastery and speaking ability.

The population of this research was all at MTs DaarulMuhsininJanji Manahan KawatLabuhanbatu in 2012/2013 academic year. This school consists of fourclasses which consist of 142 students. The sampleof this research is 36students taken by using cluster random sampling. Further, as the instrument for collecting the data the researcher used the test. The researcher used the product moment for analyzing data.

From the result of the data analysis, it was found that r_{xy} was 0.576 while ttable was 0.339. It means that $r_{count} > r_{table}$ (0.576>0.339) which means the hypothesis was accepted. However, it means there was a significant correlation between pronunciation mastery and speaking abilityat MTs DaarulMuhsininJanji Manahan Kawat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

In the name of Allah, the beneficent and the merciful

Praise is to Allah lord of the word who has bestowed upon the write in completing this thesis. Peace and blessing upon our prophet Muhammad SAW, his families, his companies, and his followers'.

This thesis can't be completed without a great deal of help from many people, they are:

- Special thanks are due to Mrs. Rayendriani Fahmei Lubis, M.Ag. as my first advisor who has guided me to complete this research and to Mr. Hamka,S.Pd., M.Hum as the second advisor who has guided me to complete this research.
- Special thanks are due to DR. H. Ibrahim Siregar, M.CL., as the Chief of State College for Islamic Studies (STAIN) Padangsidimpuan, and the assistants, who have helped the researcher as their student in STAIN Padangsidimpuan.
- Thanks are due to Hj. Zulhimma, S.Ag., M.Pd., as the Chief of Tarbiyah Department and her staff.
- Thanks are due to all lecturers who have taught, guided and also encouraged her during studying in STAIN Padangsidimpuan.
- STAIN Padangsidimpuan Library (Drs. Samsuddin Pulungan, M.Ag), for their cooperative and permission to use their books.

ii

- 6. The exclusive thanks for lovely families that always support me in everything, especially my father (Iskandar Ritonga) and my mother (Siti Sapanah Tanjung) who had given support and motivation during studying in STAIN Padangsidimpuan.
- 7. Thanks are due to my brothers Shola Huddin Al-ayubi Ritonga, Ismail Ritonga, than my yong sisters Arini Ulfa Mawaddah Ritonga, Indah jurai Ritonga, and Hariani Ritonga who have given support and motivation during studying in STAIN Padangsidimpuan.
- 8. Thanks are due to all of my best friends Zannuria pakpahan, Ida Royani Hsb. Permata Sakti Zebua, Arjuna Hikma Lubis, Diani Tanjung, Susi susanti Hasibuan, Samina, Romaito, Siti Aisah Matondang, Siti Masnilan, Nova Siboro, Chairani Damanik, Chairani Hsb, Nur Hamidah Siregar, and all friends I can't mention them here who have given support and helping in this research.

My Allah, The almighty bless them all, Amin.

Padangsidimpuan, 19 June 2013 HARIANA RITONGA Reg. No. 08 340 0016

III

TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

TITLE PAGE	
LEGALIZATIONADVISOR SHEET	
LEGALIZATION EXAMINATION SHEET	
AGREEMENT CHIEF SHEET	
AGREEMENT ADVISOR SHEET	
DECLARATION OF SELF THESIS COMPLETION	
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF APPENDICES	vii

CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION

A.

A.	Background of the Problem	1
B.	Identification of Problem	3
C.	Limitation of the Problem	3
D.	Formulation of the Problem	3
E.	Aims of the Research	4
F.	Significances of the Research	4
G.	Definition of Operational Variable	4
H.	Outline of Thesis	5

CHAPTER II

: THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

Theoretical Description	
1. Definition of Pronunciation	
a. Pronunciation	9
b. The Segmental of Pronunciation	10
c. Vowels	10

	2. Speaking	16
	a. Definition of Speaking Ability	16
	B. Related Findings 2	20
	C. Conceptual Framework 2	21
	D. Hypothesis 2	2
CHAPTER III	: RESEARCHMETHODOLOGY	
	A. Schedule and Time of the Research	24
	B. Method of the Research 2	24
	C. Population and Sample 2	25
	D. Instrument of Collecting the Data	27
	E. Validity of Instrument	9
	F. Technique of Data Analysis 3	0
CHAPTER IV	: RESULT OF THE RESEARCH	
	A. Data Description	52
	1. The result of pronunciation mastery	
	2. The result of speaking ability	
	B. Hypothesis Testing	
	C. Discussion 4	10
	D. Threats of the Research	41
CHAPTER V	: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
	A. Conclusions 4	-2
	B. Suggestions 4	.3
REFERENCES		

REFERENCES APPENDIXES CURRICULUM VITAE

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 : Test
- Appendix 2 : The Calculation of Correlation Between Simple Sentence and Writing Discussion Text Mastery to the Sixth Semester of English Department at STAIN Padangsidimpuan
- Appendix 3 : The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Teaching Simple Sentence Mastery
- Appendix 4 : The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Teaching Writing Discussion Text Mastery
- Appendix 5 : Table of r Product Moment

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	: The Population of the Research 29
Table 2	: The Indicators of Simple Sentence and Writing Discussion
	Text Mastery 31
Table 3	: The Criteria Score of Coefficient Effect of Interpretation 32
Table 4	: The Score of Mean, Median and Mode of Simple Sentence Mastery
Table 5	: The Frequency Distribution of Students' Score of Simple Sentence Mastery
Table 6	: The Score of Mean, Median and Mode of Writing Discussion Text Mastery
Table 7	: The Frequency Distribution of Students' Score of Writing Discussion Text Mastery 38
Table 8	: The Calculation of Correlation between Simple Sentence Mastery and Writing Discussion Text Mastery 40
Table 9	: The Criteria Score of Coefficient Effect of Interpretation

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	: The Histogram of Pronunciation Mastery at Grade VIII MTs
	Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat 34
Figure 2	: The Histogram of Speaking Ability at Grade VIII MTs Daarul
	Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat 36

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Problems

English is an international language in the world, English as one of the obligatory subject to be learned by the students, it can be seen supplied beginning from the primary schools up to the university level. In mastering English student should have the skills in it. English language is needed to face the global culture over the world, economic development, and international friendship among people around the world.

English in Indonesia used as a foreign language. It means that beside another subjects, English should be studied by every student in their level education. It has been studied for several years in school, and the students should be able to use it in their daily life. They have studied vocabulary, grammar, speaking.

Speaking has importance to be used such as exchanging information to share experience, ideas, sympathy and motivation: cooperating with others and learning input. Speaking as exchanging information is used to sharing experiences with others. This activity allows people to express their ideas to get sympathy and motivation. These purposes make speaking commonly used in the society. Feelings, perception and though speaking because speaking is orally done which is supported by the way of speaking itself with its immediate feedback. It brings out completely function of human gestures, prosody and body language, within speaking in social life can far away language, within speaking, communication has become more understandable, responsive, and interactive. Then, speaking in social life cannot be far away from interaction. This is supposedly proposed by living together will be better by cooperating in social interaction to get certain objectives.

Through speaking, people can show and tell their needs to others and cooperatively build cooperation which is advantageous and useful for both sides. Thus, the extenders of speaking make works being easier and faster to overcome the social problems. As one of the language skills, speaking has a very complex process, because student htink that is very difficult to learn.

According to English teacher student in Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat can not speak English well because they seldom speak English, as well as in school or in daily life. Students MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu think that English very difficult to learn. Students' difficulties in speaking English are lack of vocabulary and pronunciation. Students never practice speaking or listening speaks English from native speaker.

Students' difficult not only come from students alone, but also come from other. It can come from teacher or less tools of practice in school as radio and others. By looking at the reason above, the writer interest to choose the topic **"The Correlation Between Pronunciation Mastery And Speaking Ability At Grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat"**.

B. Identification of the Problem

Based on the background the researcher has identified the problems of the researcher as follow:

- 1. Most of students in junior high school did not interest in learning speaking ability.
- 2. Most of students are passive.
- 3. The problem of teaching is not only the teaching material, and the strategy of teaching, but also pronunciation practice.

C. Limitation of the Problem

To make this research clearly, the researcher pronunciation mastery and the correlation to students' speaking ability at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat.

D. Formulation of the Problem

Based on the above identification, the researcher formulates the problem as follows:

- What is the students' pronunciation mastery at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat?
- 2. What is the students' speaking ability at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat?
- 3. Is there significant correlation between pronunciation mastery and speaking ability at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat

E. Aims of the Research

In this research, the aims of research could be seen as follows:

- To know the students' pronunciation mastery at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.
- To know the students' speaking ability at grade VIII MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.
- To know there was significant correlation of pronunciation mastery and speaking ability or not.

F. Significance of the Research

The results of the study are important to those who teach speaking ability to improve the knowledge about pronunciation mastery. This study will be useful for:

- The headmaster, to make better concept with giving facilities in teaching English in the school.
- 2. The teacher, it will solve the students problem of speaking through using pronunciation mastery.
- 3. Next researcher, who like to develop the research related to this topic.

G.Definition of the Operational Variables

Study, researcher give the definitions of the problems from the background above. The definition of the problem as follows:

- Pronunciation mastery is way in which a language or a particular word or sounds is spoken. and complete knowledge great skill :achieve /attain mastery of several language.¹
- 2. Speaking Ability is the ability to speak fluently presupposes not only knowledge of language features, but also the ability to process information and language 'on the spot.²As had stated in the above definition of key terms ,speaking is he productive aural/oral .It consist of producing systematic verbal utterances to convey meaning. While the expert like Theodore huebner³ said "language is essentially speech, and speech is basically communication by sounds." Speaking is a productive skill than can be directly and empirically observed, those observations are invariably colored by the accuracy and effectiveness of a test.

H. Outline of Thesis.

The systematic of thesis research was divided into five chapters. Each chapter consists of many sub chapters with detail as follow:

In the chapter one, it was consisted of background was most of student didn't comprehend about pronounciation process and they didn't know the technique of speaking. The identification of the problem was the students' difficulties in speaking English are lack of vocabulary and pronounciation.

¹ A. S Hornby, *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2000) p. 928.

² *Ibid*, p. 261.

³ Jeremy Harmer, *The practice of English language Teaching*, (London:Logman, 2001), p. 269

Student never practice speaking or listening speaks English from native speaker. The aims of the research were to know the student's pronounciation, to know speaking ability, and to whether there was significances of the research were to give information to the teacher or lecturer about their student's ability inpronounciation mastery and speaking ability, as measurement teaching progress and as information to do a further research the last, definition of oprerational variables were pronounciation mastery and speaking ability.

In chapter two, it was consisted of the theoretical description the definition of pronounciation, kind of pronounciation and definition of speaking they are pitc, rhythm, intonation, strees, juncture, the review related findings, were Alfian Ramadhan Ritonga, Nurmila Sari, and Yusri and the conceptual framework is pronunciation mastery and speaking ability methods were taught by lecturer to the students. The last, hypothesis was the pronunciation mastery had significant correlation to speaking ability

In chapter three, it was consisted of research methodology, population and sample, instrument of collecting data, validity of instrument, and technique of data analysis Population of the research was the At Mts Daarul muhsinin janji manahan kawat. The sample was all of population. The instrument of collection data was test. The last, the technique of data analysis was product momen.

In chapter four, it was consisted of the result of the research, result of the research consisted of data was variable of pronunciation mastery t (X) and

speaking ability (Y) the last, testing hypothesis was significant correlation pronunciation mastery and speaking ability,

The finally, in the chapter five consisted of conclusion and suggestion. The conculation was the result of research by analyzing data. The last, the suggestion was research wishing in teaching learning progress.

CHAPTER II

THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION

A.Theoretical Description

- 1. Pronunciation
 - a. Definition of pronunciation

According to David Nunan, Pronunciation is as the sounds we make while speaking. As speaking of a language, we need to able to understand each other with relative ease. The pronunciation patterns native speakers' uses reflect those commonly production, the Longman Dictionary of Applied Linguistics emphasizes "The way sounds are perceived by the hearer" to define pronunciation.¹ According to Oxford, pronunciation is way in which a language or a particular word on sounds is spoken.² According to Anas Syafei that Pronunciation is a two fold process.in involes the recognition of sounds as well as the production of sounds.³

It was explained in Surah An-Nisa the ninth verse that there is get word that said that speak words of appropriate, that is also can give definition always said the truth.

¹David Nunan, *Practical English Language Teaching* (New York: Mc Graw-Hill, 2003) p. 296.

²A. S. Horby, *Loc. Cit.*

³AnasSyafei, *English Pronunciation :Theory and Practice* (Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan kebudayaan Direktorat Jenderal pendidikan Tinggi proyek Pengembangan ndidikan Tenaga Kependidikan, 1988) p. 1.

The meaning: "Let those (disposing of an estate) have the same fear in their minds as they would have for their own if they had left a helpless family behind: let them fear Allah, and speak words of appropriate (comfort)".⁴

According to David Nunan, pronounciation is a neglected skill in many clasroom, despite the obvious importance attached to it by learners. The major theoretical shift which has occurred with the development of communicative approaches to language teaching has been from segmental work to a focus on supra-segmental features of rhythm, strees and intonation.⁵ According to Jack C.Richards pronunciation is also known as phonology includes the role of individual sounds and sound segment, that is, features at the segmental level, as well as suprasegmental features such as strees, rhythm and intonation.⁶So, pronunciationmastery is the way that used by students to repair pronounciation better.

⁴ Abdullah Yusuf Ali, *The Meaning of Holy Quran* (Beirut: Al-Aalami Publication, 2001) p. 792.

⁵ David Nunan, *Language Teaching Methodology* (Malaysia: Longman, 2000) p. 107.

⁶Jack C.Richards. *Methodology in Language Teaching* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2002) p. 175.

b. The segmental of the pronouciation

There are three segmental of pronounciation, segmental⁷ also divied in items such as:

- 1) Vowels
- 2) Consonant
- 3) Diphtong

Between three segmental sounds of pronounciation, the research just, explain about vowels.

c. Vowels

According to Anas syafei vowels are sounds which are made without and kind of closure to the escape of air throug the mouth.⁸ According to Zainuddin,vowel is are sounds produced by moving certain part of the tongue to a certain height but still is not obstruction of the air stream. The quality of vowels depends upon the position of the tongue and lips.⁹ Daniel jones said that vowels is if the tongue is held very close to the roof of the mouth and voiced air-stream of ordinary force is emited, a frictional noisen is heard in addition to the voice.¹⁰ So vowel is modificition of the tongue and lips.

⁷Anas S

yafei, Op. Cit.,,p.11.

⁸*Ibid.*, p., 31.

⁹ Prof. Drs. H. Zainuddin, *English Pronunciation for Indonesian Students* (Padang: FBSS Universitas Negeri Padang, 2002) p. 40.

¹⁰ Daniel Jones, *The Pronounciation of English*, (NEW York: is the revised and enlarged fourth edition, 1956), p., 12.

Vowel divided in two kinds that are:

1) Short vowel

Short vowel is describes lip rounding,tongue placement and tungue height not so significantly higher and lower ,not so in back and front,not so closes and opens.¹¹ There are eight short vowels that are:

- a) /i/ the position of the tongue, front mid high central vowel
 Example:
- (1) Religion [ri'lid $\Box \Box n$]
- (2) Fission $[fi \Box n]$
- (3) finch [fint \square]
- (4) Ritual $[rit \Box u \Box l]$
- (5) finish [fint \Box]
- b) /e/ the height of the tongue raised, front mid high vowel

Examples:

- (1) Bellows $[bel \Box \Box z]$
- (2) player $[plei\Box(r)]$
- (3) rendition [ren'di \Box n]
- (4) stair $[ste\Box(r)]$
- (5) fellow $[fel \square \square]$

¹¹*Ibid.*, p. 45

c) $/\Box/$ the height of the tongue raised, front mid low vowel

Examples:

- (1) peg $[p\Box g]$
- (2) snake $[sn \Box k]$
- (3) wend $[w \square nt]$
- (4) leg $[l\Box g]$
- (5) glud $[gl \Box d]$
- d) /æ/ This vowel is front the lips are slightly spread, front low vowel Examples:
- (1) track [træk]
- (2) head [hæd]
- (3) bear [bær]
- (4) brand [bræd]
- (5) blank [blæn]
- e) $|\Box|$ is The shape of the lip back mid low central vowel

Examples:

- (1) stop $[st\Box p]$
- (2) luck $[1\Box k]$
- (3) god $[g\Box d]$
- (4) folly ['f□li]
- (5) sun $[s \Box n]$

F) $/\Box$ / the height of the tongue raised back mid high central vowel

Examples:

(1) Now	[na□]
(2) Book	[b□k]
(3) Brown	[bra□n]

- (4) Browse $[bra \Box z]$
- (5) bull $[b \square l]$
- g) /9/ the position of the tongue front central vowel

Examples:

- (2) load $[l a \Box d]$
- (3) close $[kl a \Box s]$
- (4) smoke $[sm a \Box k]$
- (5) note $[n \square \square t]$

h) $/\Box$ / the height of the tongue raised back central vowel

Examples:

(1) cup	$[c\Box p]$
(2) hut	$[h\Box t]$
(3) duck	$[d\Box k]$
(4) come	$[k\Box m]$
(5) cut	$[c\Box t]$

2) Long vowel

The Long vowel is the leangth to remind you that these vowel tend to belong, the symbols consist of one vowel symbol plus lenght mark made of two dots not only in length but also in quality.¹² There are five long vowel that are:

a) /i:/ is the tongue up so that is high and in the front of the mouth.

Example:

- (1) really $[ri: \Box li]$
- (2) reason [ri:zn]
- (3) demote $[di:m \Box \Box t]$
- (4) read [ri:d]
- (5) reach $[ri:t\Box]$
- b) $/\alpha$:/ is this an open vowel in the region vowel in the region of cardinal but not as backas this the lip position is neutral

Example:

19.

- (1) Smart $[sm\alpha:t]$
- (2) Dark $[d\alpha:k]$
- (3) Farm $[f\alpha:m]$
- (4) Cart $[k\alpha:t]$
- (5) Calf $[k\alpha:f]$

¹² Peter Roach, English Phonetics and Phonology (Cambridge: University Press, 2000), p.,

c)/□:/ is the tongue shape and position, and lips position as well as in lenght. the mid low vowel. For example:

(1)	Stau	nch	[st□:nt□]		
	~	_	_		

- (2) Sprawl $[spr\Box:l]$
- (3) Forge $[f \Box : d \Box]$
- (4) Clause $[kl \square : z]$
- (5) Caustic $[k \square : stik]$
- c) /u:/ is the position of the tongue back of the tongue is raised for u

Example:

(1) Tissue	['ti□u:]
(2) Presume	[prizju:m]
(3) smooth	[smu:ð]
(4) plumage	['plu:mid□]
(5) deluge	[delju:d□]

- e) /ə:/ is the position of the tongue front central vowel.example
 - (1) preface ['prefə:s]
 - (2) emotion $[I'm \square : \square \square n]$
 - (3) emphasis ['emf□:sis]
 - (4) throes $[\theta r \vartheta: \Box z]$
 - (5) empire [empaiə:(r)]

So, from explanation above can get conclusion that, there are two kinds of vowel, that is long vowel and short voweland long vowel divided in five vowels, then short vowel that divided in nine vowels. So all vowels there are threeteen vowels. Every vowels has get position in mouth.

- 2. Speaking
 - a. Description of speaking ability

Language ability are divided into two skills: receptive and prodskillwhich are intended to be achieved by students. According to Nunan, language generated by the students (in speech or writing) is referred to as productive. Language directed at the students (in reading or listening) is called receptive.¹³

It is important to define speaking ability sufficiently ti identify the research focus for them at intermediate level of proficiency in which Brown, figure out where students have progressed beyond beginning stages (novice) to an ability to sustain basic communicative tasks to establish some minimal fluency, to deal with a few unrehearsed situations to self correct on occasion, to use a few compensatory strategies and generally to get along in the language beyond mere survival.¹⁴

Writer identifies speaking ability in definition, characteristics, assessment criteria and class room design for teaching speaking. According to Oxford defined speaking as talking to somebody about something, use voice to

¹³ David Nunan, Op. cit., p. 48.

¹⁴H. Douglas Brown, *Teaching by Principles : An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*, (New Jersey: Prentice Hall Regents, 1994), p. 111.

say something; say or state something.¹⁵ Then in Neufel at (1988) speaking ison speaking term- "Friendly enough to exchange greetings or carry on conversation".¹⁶

According to H.Douglas brown, speaking is a productive skiil that can be directly and empirically observed, those observes ation are invariably colored by the accuary and effecticeness of a test-taker's listening skiil, which necessarily compromises the reliability and validity on oral production test. According to R.H.Rbins The factors affect the speech of speaking are:

1) Pitch

Pitch is by numeruos factors it is however, much more effected by the

tenacity of vocal-cord.

Longmans defines it as follows.

Pitch is the acoustic result of the vibration of the vocal-cord in the voices park of utterance. It follows that the term does not property apply neither to voiceless sounds nor to whispered speech. But the letter is fairly in frequent variation from normal utterance and in all language voiced sound out weight voiceless ones in frequency and in numbers the retime in English has been calculated as eighty to twenty.¹⁷

¹⁵Oxford, *Op. cit.*, p. 414.

¹⁶ Victoria Neufeldt, WebstersNew WorldCollege Dictionary, (USA: Macmillan, 1988), p. 1287. ¹⁷ Longmans, *Linguistics Library, General Linguitics*, (TPS: 1954), p. 110.

By distinguishing the various distinctive features in the pitch it self, we can guess who the speaker is, make male of female, young or old and even we can conclude whether her or she is happy or not.¹⁸

And according to Longmans, the distinctive feature in pitch can be categorized into: low, mid, high, extra high. It the notes the structural sign in international which distinct the main expression in sentence.

2) Rhythm

Free born et, al. define rhythm as follows: the rhythm of ordinary English speech derives from the patterns in words see the van? And utterance it is generally agreed that the stressed syllables in speech tend to occur at roughly regular intervals the technical is isochronal.¹⁹

Especially I deliberate speech for example (- S = Unstressed Syllable + S = Stressed Syllable).

3) Intonation

Intonation is also as distinctive feature of speech sound. The most important function of intonation is to convey pieces of information as the speakersconceives them in the lightness of intonation. Danial Jones skated that pitch of the voice with which a voice sound is pronounced is called intonation. In connected speech the voice.

¹⁸*Ibid*. ¹⁹ Longmans,*Loc. cit* These variations produce intonations which may be describe as tones or contours.²⁰

For example: 1. Is he a doctor ?

2. Can you speak French?

4) Stress

According to Danial jones stress is not prominent. Stress is one of the factors that may cause or help to cause a sound syllable to be prominent.²¹

For example: 1. Never / neve /

- 2. Breakfast / brekfast /
- 3. Visit / Vizit
- 5) Juncture

Juncture is pause which occurs as frequent intervals when the speaks. Daniel Jones started that pauses occur at frequent intervals in speaking they made for the purpose or talking breath and for the purpose of making the meaning clear.²²

Sequence without pause is or may be made without detriment to the sense, or to make the sense of the world clear are called senses groups.sequnce without pause is or may be made without detriment to the sense, or to make the sense of the world clearare called sense groups.A

²⁰Daniel jones, *The Pronunciation of English*, (New York: Is the revised and enlarged fourth edition, 1956), p. 149.

²¹*Ibid.*, p. 141.

²²*Ibid.*, p. 148.

breath group may consist of more sense group. In accordance with Daniel is viewpoint, Chaeder Adapted Hartmant's definition as follows: significant intonation pattern making the forming f one phrase or clause with another or with silence.

Based on the above quotation, it can be known that stress, intonation, rhythm, pitch and juncture affect the fluency and clearness of speaking.

B. Related Findings

In this research, the research was related to some researchers. The first was Alfian Ramadhan Ritonga, *The effect of content based instruction on students' achievement in speaking ability at SMA Negeri 1 ARSE*.²³ The concluding of his research the students' achievement in speaking ability before learning by Content Based Instruction at SMA N 1 ARSE categorized still far from hope. It was provided by the mean score of Experimental group and Control group was 70,28.

Next, Yusri, *The correlation between teaching materials and the students ability in speaking english of the grade V11 students of MTS –Syukriyah Marancar In 2010-2011 Academic years*'.²⁴ The concluding of her research from the conculation The students' mean score in answer the quemic year was

²³ Alfian Ramadhan Ritonga, *The Effect of Content Based Instruction on Students'* Achievement in Speaking Ability at SMA Negeri 1 ARSE (Padangsidimpuan: STAIN, 2011).

²⁴ Yusri, The correlation between Teaching Materials And The Students Ability in Speaking English of The Grade V11 Students Of Mts Asy-syukriyah Marancar In 2010-2011 Academic year(Padangsidimpuan: UMTS, 2011).

28.46.The last, Nurmila Sari, *The effect of drill method od student's pronounciaion achievement at grade VII SMP Negeri 6 Padangsidimpuan.*²⁵ The concluding of her research from the calculation was provided by the mean score of experiment group was 66,45 in the students' achievement pronounciation before drill method at SMP N 6 Padangsidimpuan categorized still far from hope.

C. Conceptual Framework

The successful of learning pronunciation depends on my factor.One of them is how the teacher to teach English for students.The suitable method is very important to teach pronunciation. Pronunciation is way in which a language or a particular word or sound is spoken .and speaking ability is the ability in expressing idea ,thought, opinion ,and argumentation.

This method has influence in teaching English ,especially in learning of pronunciation mastery and speaking ability could be seen as picture follow:

²⁵ Nurmila Sari, *The Effect of Drill method on Student's Pronounciation Achievement at Grade VII SMP Negeri 6 Padangsidimpuan*, (Padangsidimpuan: STAIN, 2011).


From the picture above, the pronounciation was thought by teacher to the student. In teaching pronounciation had the result; the result is students must comprehend about the funciations and usage of pronounciation process. The pronounciation mastery was see as an effort to develop basis skill to speaking ability. So, pronounciation mastery and speaking ability had correlation.

D. Hypothesis

In quantitative studies, hypothesis are prediction the research holds about the relationsship among variable.²⁶

²⁶ John W. Crewell, *Reseach Desaign: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*-Second Edition, (United State of America: Sage Publications inc, 2003), p. 108.

In other word, hypothesis are predictions or assumption for a temporary in a problem that the truth must be tested empirically. Writer has the hypothesis of this study that "There is a significant correlation between Pronounciation Mastery and Speaking Ability at MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat".

CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Schedule and location of the research

The researcher had been done in April to June 2013. To get the data, the researched chose MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat as location of research and it was location at Janji Manahan Kawat, Northeast Janji Manahan Kawat, North Sumatera.

B.Method of the Research

The method used in this research was quantitative researched, it was correlation research and herethe research observes two variables. The quantitative research was the research which used statistic data as technique of collecting data and analysis of data. And addition according to Iqbal Hasan that correlation research meant the research was done to combine between two or more variables, and from this research will be build a theory¹. Next according to Sumadi Suryabrata that correlation research was the research todetect, how far the varition at a relate with the varition at one more another factory base on correlation coeffcient².

¹Iqbal Hasan, *Analisis Data Penelitian dengan Statistik* (Jakarta: Bumi Aksara, 2004), p. 8. ²Sumardi Suryabrata, *Metodologi Penelitian* (Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, 1994), p. 24.

So, the research would correlate pronounciation mastery and speaking ability in this research.

C.The Population and Sample

1.Population

Suharsimi Arikunto said that, population is keseluruhan subjek penelitian.³ Population is the entir esubjek element in a study. Is the second year-student of MTs Darul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Langga Payung.The calculation was seen below:

Table 1:

No	Class	Total Student
1.	Class-A	36
2.	Class-B	35
3.	Class-C	37
4.	Class-D	34
Total		142

Population of the Research

³ Suharsimi Arikunto, *Prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktek,* (Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 2006), p. 130

2.Sample

Sample is presentative whole of population. According to Suharsimi: ".....apabila subjeknya kurang dari 100, lebihbaik di ambil semua, sehingga penelitiannya merupakan penelitian populasi. Selanjutnya jika jumlah subjeknya lebih dapat di ambilantara 10-15 % atau 20-25 % atau lebih".⁴ In other word if the population consist of large number, the sample could been taken from 10-15% or 20-25 % or more.

In obtaining the sample, the writer used random sampling technique considering what gay says that random sampling is the process of selecting a simple in such a way that all in individuals in the defined population an equal and independent chance of selected for the sample.⁵

Sample in this research is random sampling. The researcher prediction that every sample in population in same levels, then I take the sample of each class by carrying out the research. There are 9 students from each class. The totals of sample are 36 students. So, that test was regarded as the most appropriate instrument for getting the needed data. It is said so, because the result of the test, in this case the scores jock by the students' abilities in learning. And by using the test, it can give the scores objectively. So, that it is expected that we can get the accurate data. Besides that, the result of the test can

⁴*Ibid*, p. 134.

⁵L.R.Gay and Peter Airasian, *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Aplication*, (New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc, 2000), p. 123.

be analyzed easily by using the statistical analysis if it is compared to other instrument.

D.Instrument of Collecting the Data

A research had an instrument in this research because a good instrument could go guarantee for taking the valid data.Suharsimi Arikunto said adalah:" Instrumen penelitian merupakan alat bantu bagi peneliti dalam pengumpulan data" (Instrument of the research was a toll of facility was used by the researcher in the collecting data.)

In this research, the researcher used the instrument for collecting the data by test. The test would be done by spelling words and a short dialogue . The test consisted of 50 items. It was done to know the students' ability in pronounciation and speaking. The indicator of pronounciation could be seen table:

Table 2:

The Indicator of Pronounciation Mastery

No	Indicator	Items	Number of Item	Total score
1.	Able to undestand	25	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,1	50
	about short vowel		5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23,	
			24, 25.	

2	Able to undestand	25	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,1	50
	about long vowel		5, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23,	
			24, 25.	
Total		50	25	100

For this variable, the score was given 2 for each correctly and answer 100 for all items correctly answer.

While for speaking, the student would be done by each of the sample by use a short dialogue but is related to pronounciation mastery and indicator of the speaking can be seen as table below:

Table 3:

No	Indicator of (X)	Score
1	To performence the pitch of speaking correctly	20
2	To performence the rhythm of speaking correctly	20
3	To act the intonation of speaking correctly	20
4	To performence the stress of speaking correctly	20
5	To pronouce the juncture of speaking	20
	Total	100

The Indicator of Speaking Ability

For this variable, the score given to speaking was 100 for the correctly speaking of the text. The data were collected by giving the test of both variables to the respondents, and the data procedure was conducted as follows:

- 1. The question and answer sheets were firstly distributed.
- 2. The researcher read the instruction and explain how to do test, and then the students were asked to put their identity on the answer sheet.
- 3. The research given time or chance to ask something about items test.
- 4. The research given time for doing the test.
- 5. Then, the research collected the answer sheet after the respondents' doing the test.

A. Validity of Instrument

Suharsimi Arikunto stated adalah: " alat untuk mengukur menguji kebenaran angka . was the chance which show level of instrument about measurement something".

There were validity of instrument:

- Logical validity was the instrument which done by analisis logically; it was comfort to the content.
- 2. Empirical validity was the instrument had comfortable to aspect empirically.

In this research, the researcher used the logical validity and emperical validity. The logical validity was done by divided variable to be sub-variable and indicator of variable. The emperical validity was done by external validity and internal validity. The external validity was the data had resulted from instrument. It was siitable with information of variable. Then, the data was

correlated with external information. And the internal validity was done by test of variable.

To know what the items of instrument were valid, the researcher guided to suharsimis' opinion, who said instrument adalah" alat untuk mengetahui angka yang valid yaitu yang mengunakan statistik". Theinstrument must be analyzed by product Moment formula. Then, the result of product Moment was compared with t_{count} and t_{tabel} to know the items of instrument too. If $t_{count} > t_{tabel}$, so the items were valid. But if $t_{count} < t_{tabel}$, so the items were not valid.

From the table of validity of instrument about pronounciation mastery, it could be gotten concluding that some items were invalid. They were 1,3,5,22,37,40,42,49,52 and 60.So, the researcher the items were in valid by other items.

Next, from the table of instrument about speaking ability, it could gotten concluding that both of items were valid. They were 5,6,8,9 and 10. So, the researcher change the items were invalid by other items.

B. Technique of Data Analysis

After collecting the data, the research had analyzed the data, the technique of data analysis which used by researched was quantitative data. It would be presented in statistic formula. the statistic formula which used by research was coreelation of product moment:

$$r_{xy} = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X) (\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{N \sum X^2 - (\sum X^2)\}} (N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y^2))}}$$

Where rxy :The point of index correlation between variable X and Y

- N = Number of sample
- $\sum X$ = The scores of variable X
- $\sum Y$ = The scores of variable Y
- $\sum XY = \text{product } X \text{ and } Y$

CHAPTER IV

RESULT OF THE RESEARCH

A. Data Description

As has been mentioned in earlier chapter, in order to evaluate the correlation between pronounciation mastery and speaking ability at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat, the writer has calculated the data by using descriptive method. Applying the quantitative analysis, the writer used the formulation of "r" Product Moment. Then, the writer described the data as follow:

1. The Result of Pronounciation Mastery

In this part, the writer shows the result of the research that has been done to the dependent variable that is Pronounciation mastery. In this research, the writer presents 50 items of questions by test form. For each number, the writer gives 2 score for each question. After calculating the scores, it was found that the highest score was 85 and the lowest score was 50. To complete this research, it was needed for the researcher to calculate the mean, median and mode of the research result. Where mean was the score which represents the general value that was achieved by all the students of the class. Meanwhile, median was the score in the middle or the score which divides a distribution of data into two equal part and mode is a score which has the most frequency. So, the specification calculation was described in the below table:

Table 4:The Score of Mean, Median and Mode of Pronounciation Mastery at
Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat

No	Category	Score
1	Mean	73.61
2	Median	62.7
3	Mode	68.48

Based on the above table, it shows that mean was 73.61, so it was interpreted as good category, the median was 62.7, and the msode was 68.48. Then, the calculation of how to get them, it can be seen in appendix V11. To make it clearer, the writer comp uted of the frequency distribution of the students' score in pronounciation mastery which can be applied as follows:

Table 5 The Frequency distribution of Students' Score in Pronounciation Mastery at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsininin Janji Manahan Kawat

No.	Interval	Mid	Frequency	Frequency	Percentages
	class	Point		Cumulative	
1	50 - 55	52.5	2	2	5.55%
2	56 - 61	58.5	2	4	5.55%
3	62-67	64.5	2	6	5.55%
4	68 – 73	76.5	14	20	38.88%
5	74-79	76.5	10	30	27.77%
6-	79 – 85	82.5	6	36	16.66%
	Total		36	-	100%

In order to make the description of the data of students' achievement in teaching Pronounciation mastery atMts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.was more complete, the writer presents the below histogram:



Figure 1: The Histogram of Pronounciation Mastery at Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.

By looking the above histogram, it can be said that the curve was normal. The degree of students' achievement in Pronounciation Mastery was highest at the middle position.

2. TheResult of Speaking Ability

In this part, Speaking Ability or the Y variable should calculate too. Mean, median and mode are also calculated here. Actually, the highest score that was 90 and the lowest one was 49. So, the specification calculation was described in the below table:

Table 6
The Score of Mean, Median and Mode of Speakingability at Grade VIII
Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat

No	Category	Score
1	Mean	72.22
2	Median	62.502
3	Mode	68.277

Based on the above table, it shows that mean was 72.22 and it was interpreted as good category, the median was 62.502, and the mode was 68.277. Then, the calculation of how to get them, it can be seen in appendix V111. To make it clearer, the writer computed of the frequency distribution of the students' score in speaking ability which can be applied as follows:

Table 7The Frequency distribution of Students' Score in Speaking Ability
at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat

No.	Interval	Mid	Frequency	Cumulative	Percentages
	Class	Point		frequency	
1	49 - 54	51.5	2	2	5.55%
2	55 - 60	57.5	1	3	2.77%
3	61-66	63.5	21	24	58.33%
4	67-72	69.5	7	31	19.44%
5	73 – 78	75.5	1	32	2.77%
6	79–84	81.5	2	34	5.55%
7	85-90	87.5	2	36	5.55%
	Total		36	-	100%

Based on table of frequency distribution above, it can be drawn at histogram as below:



By looking the above histogram, it can be said that the curve was normal. The degree of students' achievement in Speaking Abilitywas highest at the middle position.

B. Hypothesis Testing

After collecting the data, this research was going to test the hypothesis. To prove the hypothesis, the research uses "r" Product Moment Correlation Formula by Pearson. This technique was used to see the correlation between Pronounciation mastery and Speaking Ability at Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhan Batu. There are some steps that have to be done to get the score of Product Moment Correlation as follows:

- a. Making the work table contain both variables
- b. Making the index correlation product moment between X variable and Y variable
- c. Giving interruption r count and getting the conclusion.

Below was the application of the above steps:

Table8

The Calculation of Correlation between PronounciationMastery and Speaking Ability at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat

No	X	Y	\mathbf{X}^2	\mathbf{Y}^{2}	XY
1	85	90	7225	8100	7650
2	70	85	4900	7225	5950
3	70	80	4900	6400	5600
4	75	65	5625	4225	4875
5	75	75	5625	5625	5625
6	70	75	4900	5625	5250
7	70	70	4900	4900	4900
8	50	65	2500	4225	3250
9	55	70	3025	4900	3850
10	80	60	6400	3600	4800
11	80	65	6400	4225	5200
12	80	50	6400	2500	4000
13	75	55	5625	3025	4125
14	70	70	4900	4900	4900
15	75	70	5625	4900	5250
16	75	75	5625	5625	5625
17	75	75	5625	5625	5625
18	70	70	4900	4900	4900
19	70	70	4900	4900	4900

20	70	70	4900	4900	4900
21	65	75	4225	5625	4875
22	70	70	4225	5625	4875
23	75	70	5625	5625	5625
24	75	70	5625	4900	5250
25	80	65	6400	4225	5200
26	85	70	7225	4900	5950
27	65	70	4225	4900	4550
28	70	65	4900	4225	4550
29	70	70	4900	4900	4900
30	70	70	4900	4900	4900
31	75	70	5625	4900	5250
32	75	70	5625	4900	5250
33	70	70	4900	4900	4900
34	65	75	4225	5625	4875
35	70	75	4900	5625	5250
36	70	65	4900	4225	4550
Sum	2650	2600	186050	182725	187500
M	73.61	72.22			
Med	62.52	62.334			
Mo	<i>68.48</i>	68.277			

The data of simple sentence mastery and the ability to write discussion text as tabulated above then calculated by using an 'r'ProductMoment formulation. Where, from the data above, it can be seen that $\Sigma X=2650\Sigma Y=2600$, $\Sigma X^{2}=186050$, $\Sigma Y^{2}=182725\Sigma XY=187500$, N=36.

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{N\sum XY - \left(\sum X\right)\left(\sum Y\right)}{N\sum XY - \left(\sum X\right)\left(\sum Y\right)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & r_{xy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left\{N\sum X^2 - \left(\sum X^2\right)\right\}\left\{N\sum Y^2 - \left(\sum Y^2\right)\right\}}} \\ &= \frac{36.187500 - (2650)(2600)}{\sqrt{\left\{36.186050 - (2650)^2\right\}\left\{36.182725 - (2600)^2\right\}}} \\ &= \frac{6750000 - (6890000)}{\sqrt{\left\{6697800 - (7022500)\right\}\left\{6578100 - (6760000)\right\}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{-140000}{\sqrt{(-324700)(-181900)}}$$
$$= \frac{-140000}{\sqrt{(5.90629310)}}$$
$$= \frac{-140000}{\sqrt{(243028.6609)}}$$
$$= 0.576$$

From the calculation above, it can be seen that the value of r_{xy} was 0.576. Where the sample of this research was 36 and the total of variable are 2, so df (N-2) = (36 -2) = 34. And the score of r_{table} at 5% significant level was 0.339 Because the value of r_{xy} was greater than r_{table} so the hypothesis of the research was accepted $r_{counted} > r_{table}$ (0.576>0.339) which means there was asignificant correlation between pronounciation mastery and speaking ability at MtsS Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.

Then, to know the categorize how far the correlation between pronounciation mastery and speaking ability, it would be interpretated from the table below:

Table 9 TheCriteria Score of Coefficient Effect of Interpretation¹

¹MuhibbinSyah, *PsikologiPendidikanDenganPendekatanBaru*, (Bandung: RemajaRosdakarya, 2000), p. 81.

No	Class of Score	Predicate
1	80–100	Very Good
2	70–79	Good
3	60–69	Enough
4	50–59	Bad
5	0–49	Fail

From the above table, it was known that pronounciation mastey was good, it was seen from the score of its mean was 73.61 and so does speaking ability which the score was 72.22.

C.Discussion

Based on the calculation and some explanations above, it was known that the students' pronounciation mastering and speaking ability were good enough. Moreover, the students skill was good. So, from the calculation above, the writer appropriated that the result of this research said that there was a significant correlation between pronounciation mastery and speaking ability. Both of variables had familiar material for the students to learn. So, they did not feel so difficult in answering the given exercises.

So far, this research was not so different with the theory in related findings.It conclude that pronounciation mastery had significant correlation to speaking ability.For supporting that, it was proved by hypothesis of the mastery had the significant correlation to speaking ability at Grade VIII Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat.

D. Threats of the Research

in this research, the research believed that were many threats of the researcher. It started from the titled until the techniques of analyzing data, so, the researcher knew that it was so far from excellence thesis and fells that research was still far from perfect. This research still limited. They were many mistakes and weakness. Mistake and weakness were caused by limition of the writer time, the orginal answers of the students. On doing the test, there were the weakness of time, because the student had activies, when the answered the test; they less concentrate test, increase of many task to fulfill for examination a head and also there were many weakness of the researcher that have not been know. The writer cannot control the seriousness of the students in answering the test if they are seriosly in answering the test or not.

CHAFTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

A. Conclusions

Based on the data analysis that was described in the previous chapter, the writer concluded as follows:

- 1. The score category of pronounciation mastery at Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhan Batu is "good". It is shown through the mean or average score of the students calculately 73.61.
- 2. The score category of Speaking Ability at Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhan Batu. is "good". It is shown that the mean or average score of the students calculately 72.22.
- 3. Based on the calculation above, it explains that r_{xy} calculation is 0.576 if the index correlation compare with the value in the "r" table, so it can be seen that t significances value 5% with (dk) 36 2 = 34 got the score 0.339. So, it can be known that r calculation is 0.576 > 0.339. It means that the hypothesis is accepted or there is a positive correlation between pronounciation mastery and speaking ability at Mts Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhan batu.

B. Suggestions

Based on the conclusions which have been presented previously, the writer would like to present some suggestions as follow:

- 1. For the English teachers'in teaching English especially in teaching speaking English suggested to choose the suittable teaching method.
- 2. For the head master of the school in motivated the English teachers in teaching English to use good pronounciation in learning process correctly.
- 3. For the reader the uses and the aims of pronounciation mastery in teaching English especially in speaking ability.

REFERENCES

- Admin, Understanding Types of Text, (http.www.yahoo.com), accessed on 29 may 2009.
- Anas Sudijono, *Pengantar Statistik Pendidikan*, (Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada, 2008).
- Batubara, Fatwa Melvi, the correlation between the parts of speech mastery and the simple sentence mastery to the grade XI of SMK Negeri 1 Padangsidimpuan in 2008-2009 academic year. (Unpublished Thesis). (Padangsidimpuan: UMTS, 2009).
- Butt, David, *Using Functional Grammar*, (An Explorer's Guide: National Center for English Teaching and Research: Macquire University), 2000.
- Gay, L. R. and Peter Airasian. *Educational Research*, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2000.
- Gerot, Linda and Peter Wignell, *Making Sense of Functional Grammar*, Australia : Gerd Stabler, 1994.
- Killen, Roy, *Effective Teaching Strategies*, second edision, Australia: Social science Press, 1998.
- Muhibbin Syah, *Psikologi Pendidikan Dengan Pendekatan Baru*, Bandung: Remaja Rosda Karya, 2000.
- Munoz, Mary Ellen Page, *TOEFL Preparation Guide*, (Delhi: Nice Printing Press, 2008).
- Murthy, Jayanthyi Dakhsina, *Contemporary English Grammar* (New Delhi: Shivem Printers, 2003).

Otong Setiawan Djuharie, Genre, Bandung : Yrama Widya, 2007.

Pardiyono, *Pasti Bisa Teaching Genre Based Speaking*, (Yogyakarta: Andi Offset, 2007).

- Rizkiah, The Correlation Between Simple Present Tense Mastery and Understanding Discussion Text a Study Grade XII Student of SMK Muhammadiyah 13 Panyabungan in 2009/2010 Academic Year (Unpublished Script), Padangsidimpuan: FKIP STKIP, 2010.
- Siti Jamilah, 2008. English in Indonesian Primary School. *Primary School bagian KURIKULUM/ CURRICULUM*, (Online), (<u>http:///re-</u> <u>searhengines.com/siti.html</u>, accessed on December 1, 2011).

Suharsimi Arikunto, Manajemen Penelitian (Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 2009).

Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek, (Jakarta: Rineka Cipta, 1997).

University Oxford, Oxford Learner's Pocket Dictionary, Oxford University, 2009.

Windy Novia, Essential English Grammar, (Jakarta: Wipress, 2009),

- Wren and Martin. *High School English Grammar & Composition,* (NP: Prasada Rao, 1990).
- Yusuf Ali, Abdullah, *The Meaning of the Holy Qur'an in English Language*, Al-Alami Publications: Beirut, 2001.
- Zandvoort, R.W. and J.A. Van Ek., A Handbook of English Grammar, Singapore: Singapore Offset Printing, 1980.

Appendix I

"The pronounce the following words about short vowel"

- 1. Listen
- 2. Way
- 3. Sister
- 4. Ring
- 5. Name
- 6. Fresh
- 7. Face
- 8. Where
- 9. Bear
- 10. Have
- 11. Bag
- 12. Stop
- 13. Book
- 14. Put
- 15. Old
- 16. Open
- 17. Close
- 18. Nose
- 19. Love
- 20. bus
- 21. Cup
- 22. Brother
- 23. Come
- 24. Cut
- 25. hung

Appendix II.

" Pronounnce the following words about long vowel"

- 1. nice
- 2. meet
- 3. deep
- 4. the
- 5. clean
- 6. star
- 7. afternoon
- 8. heart
- 9. class
- 10. art
- 11. born
- 12. Hobby
- 13. What
- 14. Door
- 15. Call
- 16. School
- 17. You
- 18. Music
- 19. Cook
- 20. Moon
- 21. Blue
- 22. Roof
- 23. Your
- 24. Girls
- 25. Birth

Appendix III

The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Pronounciation Mastery

1. Range (R) Interval	= 85 - 50 = 15 = 1 +3.3 log N
	$= 1 + 3.3 \log 36$
	= 1 +3.3 (1.556)
	= 1 + 5.135
	$= 6.135 \longrightarrow 6$
Class	$=\frac{35}{6}5.8$ \longrightarrow 6

2. Mean of X Variable

$$Mx = \frac{\sum Y}{N} = \frac{2650}{36}$$

3. Median of X Variable

$$Me = b - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}N - F}{fk}\right]p$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{1/236 - 6}{15}\right]6$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{12.5}{15}\right]6$$
$$= 67.5 - (0.8) 6$$
$$= 67.5 - 4.8$$
$$= 62.$$

4. Mode of X Variable

$$Mo = b + p\left(\frac{b1}{b1+b2}\right) = 67.5 + 6\left[\frac{21}{2+10}\right]$$
$$= 67.5 + 6 \frac{2}{1}$$
$$= 67.5 + 6 (0.16)$$
$$= 67.5 + 0.96 = 68.48$$

Appendix III

"The key Answer of short vowel"

- 1. ['lisn]
- 2. [wei]
- 3. ['sist□]
- 4. [rin]
- 5. [neim]
- 6. [fre□s]
- 7. [feis]
- 8. [w□□]
- 9. [b□□]
- 10. [h□v]
- 11. [b□g]
- 12. [st□p]
- 13. [buk]
- 14. [put]
- 15. [□uld]
- 16. ['□up□n]
- 17. [kl□uz]
- 18. [n□uz]
- 19. [l□v]
- 20. [b□s]
- 21. [k□p]
- 22. ['br□ð□]
- 23. [k□m]
- 24. [k□t]
- 25. [h□n]

Appendix IV

"The key Answer of long vowel"

- 1. [ni:s]
- 2. [mi:t]
- 3. [di:p]
- 4. [kli:n
- 5. [ði:]
- 6. [stα:]
- 7. [α :t \Box 'nu:n]
- 8. [hα:t]
- 9. [kla:s]
- 10. [α:t]
- 11. [$b\Box$:n]
- 12. ['h□bi]
- 13. [hw 🗆 t]
- 14. [d□:]
- 15. [k□:1]
- 16. [sku:1]
- 17. [ju:]
- 18. ['mju:zik]
- 19. [ku:k]
- 20. [mu:n]
- 21. [blu:]
- 22. [ru:f]
- 23. [j□:r]
- 24. [g□:1]
- 25. [b□:θ]

Appendix IV

The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Speaking Ability

1. Range (R) = 90 - 45= 41
= 1 + 3.3 log N
= 1 + 3.3 log 36
= 1 + 3.3 (1.55)
= 1 + 5.115
= 6.116
$$\longrightarrow$$
 6
Class = $\frac{41}{6} = 6.83 \longrightarrow$ 6

- 2. Mean of Y variable or My $My = \frac{\sum X}{N}$ $= \frac{6485}{88}$ = 73.6
- 3. Median of Y variable

$$Me = b - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}N - F}{fk}\right]p$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2.36 - 3}}{21}\right]7$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{18 - 3}{21}\right]7$$

= 67.5 - (0.714) 7

= 67.5 - 4.998

4. Mode of Y variable

$$Mo = b + p\left(\frac{b1}{b1 + b2}\right)$$

= 67.5 + 7 $\left[\frac{1}{1 + 8}\right]$
= 67.5 + 7 (0.1)
= 67.5 + 0.77
= 68.277

APPENDIX V

Nama:

Class:

" Instrument of Speaking Ability "

Conversation

- A: Hello
- B: Hello
- A: Good morning?
- B: Good morning A
- A: What is your name?
- B: My name is A
- A: Where were you born?
- B: I born in Medan
- A: By the way, do you have brother and sister?
- B: Yes, I have brother and sister
- A: How many brother and sister?
- B: I have two brothers and one sister.
- A: Where do you live?
- B: I live in Medan
- A: What is your job?
- B: I am a student
- A: What is your hobby?
- B: My hobby is listening to the music
- A: Nice to meet you
- B: Nice to meet you too

Appendix VIII

The Calculation of Correlation between Pronounciation Mastery and Speaking Ability at MTs Daarul Muhsinin Janji Manahan Kawat Labuhanbatu.

No.	Initial	X	Y	X ²	Y ²	XY
1.	DH	85	90	7225	8100	7650
2.	DF	70	85	4900	7225	5950
3.	EW	70	80	4900	6400	5600
4.	IF	75	65	5625	4225	4875
5.	JA	75	75	5625	5625	5250
6.	KM	70	75	4900	5625	3575
7.	MB	70	70	4900	4900	4900
8.	NS	50	65	2500	4225	3250
9.	MA	55	70	3025	4900	3850
10.	RB	80	60	6400	2600	4800
11.	ST	80	65	6400	4225	5200
12.	RS	80	50	6400	2500	4000
13.	RJ	75	55	5625	3025	4125
14.	RS	70	70	4900	4900	4900
15.	KP	75	70	5625	4900	5625
16.	RS	75	75	5625	4900	5250
17.	NL	75	75	5625	5625	5625
18.	DS	70	80	4900	6400	5600
19.	DF	70	70	4900	4900	4900
20.	GH	70	70	4900	4900	4900
21.	IY	70	70	5625	5625	5625
22.	LH	75	70	5625	4900	4875
23.	MA	75	70	5625	4900	5250
24.	MD	75	55	5625	4900	5250
25.	AY	80	65	6400	4225	5200
26.	AZ	85	70	7225	4900	5950
27.	BA	65	70	4225	4900	4550
28.	KM	70	65	4900	4225	4550
29.	MZ	70	70	4900	4900	4900

32. 33.	SZ BA	75	70	5625 4900	4900	5250 4900
34.	FS	65	75	4225	5625	5250
35.	FM	70	75	4900	5625	4875
36.	NI	70	65	4900	4225	5250
Sum	2650		2600	186050	182725	187500
M	73.61		72.22			
Med	62.7		62.502			
Мо	68.48		68.277			

Appendix VII

The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Speaking Ability

5. Range (R) =
$$90 - 45 = 41$$

= $1 + 3.3 \log N$
= $1 + 3.3 \log 36$
= $1 + 3.3 (1.55)$
= $1 + 5.115$
= $6.116 \longrightarrow 6$
Class = $\frac{41}{6} = 6.83 \longrightarrow 6$

6. Mean of Y variable or My

$$My = \frac{\sum X}{N}$$
$$= \frac{2600}{36}$$
$$= 72.22$$

7. Median of Y variable

$$Me = b - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}N - F}{fk}\right]p$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2.36 - 3}}{21}\right]7$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{18 - 3}{21}\right]7$$
$$= 67.5 - (0.714) 7$$
$$= 67.5 - 4.998$$

8. Mode of Y variable

$$\mathbf{Mo} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{p}\left(\frac{b1}{b1+b2}\right)$$
$$= 67.5 + 7 \left[\frac{1}{1+8} \right]$$
$$= 67.5 + 7 (0.1)$$
$$= 67.5 + 0.77$$
$$= 68.277$$

Appendix VI

The Calculation of Mean, Median and Mode of Pronounciation Mastery

5. Range (R) Interval	= 85 - 50 = 15 = 1 +3.3 log N
	$= 1 + 3.3 \log 36$
	= 1 +3.3 (1.556)
	= 1 + 5.135
	$= 6.135 \longrightarrow 6$
Class	$=\frac{35}{6}5.8$ \longrightarrow 6

6. Mean of X Variable

$$Mx = \frac{\sum Y}{N} = \frac{2650}{36}$$

7. Median of X Variable

$$Me = b - \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}N - F}{fk}\right]p$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{1/236 - 6}{15}\right]6$$
$$= 67.5 - \left[\frac{12.5}{15}\right]6$$
$$= 67.5 - (0.8) 6$$
$$= 67.5 - 4.8$$
$$= 62.$$

8. Mode of X Variable

$$Mo = b + p\left(\frac{b1}{b1+b2}\right)$$
$$= 67.5 + 6\left[\frac{21}{2+10}\right]$$
$$= 67.5 + 6 \frac{2}{1}$$
$$= 67.5 + 6 (0.16)$$
$$= 67.5 + 0.96 = 68.48$$

Appendix VIII

Table

Product Moment

Taraf Significant	
1%	
0,345	
0,330	
0,317	
0,306	
0,296	
0,286	
0,278	
0,270	
0,263	
0,256	
0,230	
0,210	
0,194	
0,181	

15	0,514	0,641	40	0,312	0,403	300	0,113	0,148
16	0,497	0,623	41	0,308	0,398	400	0,098	0,128
17	0,482	0,606	42	0,304	0,393	500	0,088	0,115
18	0,468	0,592	43	0,301	0,389			
19	0,456	0,575	44	0,297	0,384	600	0,080	0,105
20	0,444	0,561	45	0,294	0,380	700	0,074	0,097
21	0,433	0,549	46	0,291	0,376	800	0,070	0,091
22	0,423	0,537	47	0,288	0,372			
23	0,413	0,526	48	0,284	0,368	900	0,065	0,086
24	0,404	0,515	49	0,281	0,364			
25	0,396	0,505	50	0,279	0,361	1000	0,062	0,081